

CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2, AND ARE CLOSED CAPTIONED FOR OUR HEARING IMPAIRED VIEWERS. THE COUNCIL MEETING, AS WELL AS ALL OTHER KCLV PROGRAMMING, CAN BE VIEWED ON THE INTERNET AT www.kclv.tv. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 AND THE WEB THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM, AND ALSO ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 1:00 PM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION - RABBI MEL HECHT, TEMPLE BETH AM
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN (excused during the a.m. session from 9:35–10:13 and during the p.m. session from 2:53-5:00 p.m.) and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, BROWN (excused from the p.m. session until 1:59), L.B. McDONALD (excused from the morning session at 11:57 a.m.), WEEKLY, MACK (excused from the morning session at 11:57 a.m.), and MONCRIEF

Also Present: CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Las Vegas Library, 833 Las Vegas Boulevard North
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway
Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall
City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:33)

1-1

RABBI MEL HECHT, Temple Beth Am, gave the invocation.

(9:33 – 9:35)

1-25

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:35 – 9:36)

1-84

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required. A presentation was made.

MINUTES:

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director of Leisure Services, and AMY CARVER, Manager of Senior Citizen Programs Division, joined MAYOR PRO TEM REESE to honor AMY SOLICH, Recreation Activities Specialist, as August's Employee of the Month. MS. SOLICH was nominated by COUNCILMAN MACK and 42 of the seniors who use the Northwest Senior Center for her commitment and hard work. MS. SOLICH has been employed with the City since July 2000 and was given the task to develop recreational and social activities for the senior citizens in the City's Northwest area. The senior activities used to be housed in the center with other programs, including Track Break. During this time, MS. SOLICH brought important and appealing activities to senior participants in spite of the limitations of time and space. She worked tirelessly and enthusiastically to find other facilities in the area to expand and enhance the senior programming opportunities. Amy's dedicated efforts came to fruition when the Northwest Community Center Track Break Program moved into other facilities and the Northwest Senior Center became a reality in June of 2002.

MS. SOLICH is exceptionally outgoing and takes the time to get to know each person who uses the facility. She invites seniors' input and responds accordingly. Her dedication, friendliness and warmth have earned her the respect, admiration, and affection of the seniors who use the center, as well as those of her co-workers.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Ceremonial

Recognition of the Employee of the Month

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK congratulated MS. SOLICH on her efforts. He said that the City took an old fire station that was being used as both a track break center and a senior center and made it the permanent home for the seniors, who really love MS. SOLICH. She is warm, friendly, and dedicated to the seniors.

MS. SOLICH was extremely honored for this recognition and felt lucky to have such a wonderful job. She loves her job so much that she does not mind the 40-mile drive in to work from Boulder City. She thanked COUNCILMAN MACK and the Department of Leisure Services for this honor.

DR. JACKSON said that everyone in Leisure Services was very pleased that MS. SOLICH was nominated for Employee of the Month. She has done a wonderful job at the Senior Center, and her enthusiasm shows that she truly loves her job.

(9:36 – 9:41)

1-117

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF JUNIOR SOFTBALL STATE CHAMPIONS

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required. A presentation was made.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN BROWN recognized the 2003 Nevada "A" Twelve and Under State Champions, the Lil' Rebels, the 2003 nine and ten minor softball players State Champions from the Lone Mountain Little League, and the Twelve and Under State Champions, Mountain Ridge All Star Team.

The members of the Lil' Rebels are: TAYLOR ALEMAN, DANIELLE NOLAN, VICTORIA POMA, JULIA BUCY, BRANDI YAMKA, JESSLYN BLEY, KATLEYN BLEY, JUELAINA NOA, JASMINE WILSON, ROBIN LOWERY, KATIE LEE, MOLLY McBRIDE, SARA DETWILER, KRISTINA FERGUSON, and DANA MASON. Each received a small token of appreciation from the Council. COACHES ERIC TOLIVER, CHRIS WILSON, GENNY ORRIS, BRAD NOLAN, and RANDY ALEMAN were also present. COACH NOLAN thanked the team members and their parents for all their assistance during their travels. The team's grade point average was 3.59, which is a great accomplishment. He also thanked the Council for this recognition.

The team members of the Lone Mountain Little League are: HANNAH WILLIAMS, JENA OWSLANY, SAVANAH WEBSTER, KATELYN BROWN, JACKQUELYN HARRIS, ANDREA MURPHY, ALISA FJELSTAD, ANGELINA KNESEL, KARLA SERRANO, SHANTEL SCARLETT, and CHELSEA KUPITZ. MANAGER CARL OWSLANY, HEAD COACH BERNIE WILLIAMS, and COACH DUANE FJELSTAD were also present. HEAD COACH WILLIAMS stated that the team members and the coaches did a wonderful job. He thanked the Council, especially COUNCILMEN BROWN and MACK, for this recognition.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Ceremonial

Recognition of Junior Softball State Champions

MINUTES – Continued:

The team members of the Mountain Ridge All Star Team are: NICK VENDEVER, BEAU SCARLETT, BRADLEY ROWLAND, BRADLEY OSWALD, MATT HOLLEY, KODY GORDEN, ANTHONY CONSIGLIO, RYAN DAVID, JOHNNY FIELD, MATT TRIANA, KYLE SMITH, and JOHNNA BROWN, MANAGER TRENT SCARLETT and COACH CHARLIE SMITH. COUNCILMAN BROWN, who is also one of the coaches, said that this is the first time this team has won the District Championship; that is due to their great athletic abilities and their disposition. The parents were also very supportive. MR. SCARLETT thanked the parents, the team members, and the coaches, especially COUNCILMAN BROWN.

COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that the small trophies for the Lone Mountain Little League young ladies would be forthcoming. They could not be picked up because of the recent flooding in the Northwest area.

Finally, COUNCILMAN BROWN acknowledged the following people in District 4: DIRECTOR MIKE ACRE, ASSISTANT GARY ALLEN, and PRESIDENT TAMMY CLARK.

(9:41 – 9:56)

1-261

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

PRESENTATION BY THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FOR SUPPORT OF THE
NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required. A presentation was made.

MINUTES:

LIEUTENANT COLONEL JEFFREY GRABER, U.S. Department of Defense, and MARIA ZALDIVAR joined MAYOR PRO TEM REESE for this presentation. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE indicated that the Department of Defense contacted the City to recognize its efforts in supporting City employees who were called to active duty as members of the National Guard and Reserve. It continues to be the desire of the City Council that City employees in the military do not suffer a drop in compensation while they are called to serve the nation. The City is proud to assist its brave men and women. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE signed a statement of support recognizing the special roles these brave employees play in maintaining the strength and well being of the nation.

On behalf of SECRETARY OF DEFENSE DONALD RUMSFELD, LIEUTENANT COLONEL GRABER made this presentation to the City because of its solid support of the soldiers that work for the City. Approximately 50% of the total military force of the United States resides in reserve component, so no significant military mobilization can take place without utilizing the guard and reserve, which can sometimes greatly impact the employers. He explained that the signing of the statement by the Mayor Pro Tem and the Chairperson for the ESGR State Committee of Nevada solidifies the fact that both the City and State support the soldiers.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Ceremonial

Presentation by the U.S. Department of Defense for Support of the National Guard and Reserve

MINUTES – Continued:

DR. DIXIE SUE ALLSBROOK, Nevada Chair of the Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve program, presented the Council with some pins and with a large plaque containing the letter of support text. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE expressed his sincere appreciation to the National Guard and Reserve.

(9:56 – 9:59)

1-743

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF MAJOR WILLIAM RAIHL OF THE SALVATION ARMY

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

MOTION:

None required. A presentation was made.

MINUTES:

CHARLES DESIDERIO, Salvation Army Director of Development and Marketing, joined MAYOR PRO TEM REESE to recognize MAJOR WILLIAM RAIHL, of the Salvation Army, who was accompanied by his wife MAJOR HARRYETTE RAIHL, director of special services for the Salvation Army.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE commented that MAJOR RAIHL was commissioned in 1984 and was appointed as assistant officer at the Yakima, Washington Corps. The Raihls served in many capacities in different regions of the country where they worked on projects ranging from youth camps and events, to the coordination of building projects and the implementation of programs for the women's ministries of the Salvation Army. Before coming to Las Vegas in 2002, the Raihls served as divisional secretaries for business and divisional Woman's Ministries for the southwest division. They contributed to the construction of the Elim House domestic violence shelter and a senior housing project in Phoenix.

MAJOR RAIHL and his wife currently serve Las Vegas at the Salvation Army in Clark County, overseeing operations of more than \$14 million to assist the needs of thousands of people in this community. For all of his contributions to the Las Vegas Valley and the nation, MAYOR PRO TEM REESE presented MAJOR RAIHL with the City's Medal of Merit, which has an inscription in Latin that says, "I have done my best."

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Ceremonial

Recognition of Major William Raihl of the Salvation Army

MINUTES – Continued:

MAJOR RAIHL, on behalf of the Salvation Army, thanked the City for its support. He stated that his three-month deployment to Iraq was very challenging. The citizens of this country should be very proud of the young men and women in the military. They are doing an outstanding job, and positive things are happening in Iraq. He stated that he felt privileged to serve and to be able to help. But nobody realizes what they have until surrounded by what they do not have – freedom. When he put his feet on American soil, he kissed the ground because he was so thankful to be back in the U.S., to be home, and to live in a city that cares so much. Lastly, he presented each of the Council members with currency from Iraq.

(9:59 – 10:05)

1-855

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF WARD 6 COMMUNITY VOLUNTEERS

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

MOTION:

None required. A presentation was made.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK recognized two entities with employees who volunteer a great deal in Ward 6.

Washington Mutual employs 250 people in Las Vegas and has a volunteer team of bank employees called CAN (Committed Active Neighbors) who go into the community to assist non-profit organizations with help for events. Their primary focus is affordable housing and education.

CAN has assisted with many neighborhood projects. They have worked with the American Cancer Society's Annual Walk, provided backpacks with school supplies to Oran Gragson Elementary School, along with a \$2,500 grant for books, assisted the March of Dimes with its annual walk, and have participated in block parties in disadvantaged neighborhoods. CAN has been very involved in the clean up efforts of a Ward 6 neighborhood and continues to work with this community. They should be commended for their involvement and commitment to the Las Vegas community.

GREG GOPAL, Manager at Sahara Towne Square, KRISTY GOPAL, Recruiter, and TWILA MORGAN, Regional Administrative Assistant for Nevada, were present from Washington Mutual. MS. GOPAL thanked the City and said that all the volunteers are excited to be able to help the community. MR. GOPAL said that this type of recognition reaffirms their commitment to make this community a better place to live. He thanked COUNCILMAN MACK.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Ceremonial

Recognition of Ward 6 Community Volunteers

MINUTES – Continued:

The following individuals that helped with the Tanglewood Light Project: RICHARD ZABST and ALAN HELM from Nevada Power, JOHN McCORMICK, Acme Electric, SHANNON BEASLEY, Tanglewood resident who was extremely instrumental in making Tanglewood a safe community.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that Nevada Power teamed up with Acme Electric and the City of Las Vegas to improve the safety of a Ward 6 privately owned park in a struggling neighborhood that was beginning to have an increased crime rate and needed a very expensive light. The residents in the surrounding area were unable to afford the costs involved. Through combined efforts, the light has been installed and the results were seen almost overnight. Both Nevada Power and Acme Electric have shown their commitment to Las Vegas residents in the many other projects with which they work.

Nevada Power is involved in many projects to help improve the community. They teamed up with KVEG-97.5 and Office Max to collect school supplies for the Assistance League of Las Vegas' Operation School Bell program. They have also teamed up with the Natural History Museum to support education in the community and with the Salvation Army to bring utility assistance to many in need.

Acme Electric has been serving the residents of Las Vegas since 1942. They have been involved in some very large projects but believe their success comes from work they do for the community, such as, Help for Humanity, Metropolitan Police S.W.A.T. Facility, and the park site in Tanglewood. Acme Electric takes great pride in its work, success, and its community.

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked all involved in the Tanglewood project for helping to make Ward 6 and the City of Las Vegas a better place to live. He also thanked Nevada Power for always stepping forward to assist.

On behalf of Nevada Power, MR. HELM thanked the Council for the generous comments. He stated that the Tanglewood Project was achieved through a partnership with the City and the neighbors, and it was a pleasure to be able to help out. MR. McCORMICK also expressed his appreciation to the City for putting out the contracts to keep his company working. MS. BEASLEY appreciated the efforts of both Nevada Power and Acme Electric that have kept the Tanglewood neighborhood safe.

(10:05 – 10:13)

1-1053

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wish to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 56 to 9/3/2003 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

After COUNCILMAN REESE motioned to hold Item 56 in abeyance to 9/17/2003, as had originally been requested, CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC requested that it be held to 9/3/2003 instead.

There was no further discussion.

(10:13 – 10:14)

1-135

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of July 16, 2003

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED by Reference – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(10:14)
1-1398

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$51,668,468.96

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: All Funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 07/01/03 - 07/31/03

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$	15,456,381.37
Total Payroll Checks	\$	11,201,330.33
Total Wire Transfers	\$	25,010,757.26

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD disclosed that she is an outside director of Station Casinos, and even though Item 15 involves a privileged license for gaming, it has no material impact on Station Casinos. Therefore, she would be voting.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the locations involved in Items 10, 42, and 45 are all near SuperPawn stores, with which he is affiliated and are owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK. The location in Item 19 is near the Lady Luck, with which his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER has a contract. Portions of Item 26 are adjacent to both the SuperPawn and another property owned by his brother, as well as an office of Triple Five Development, a client of MK², with which he is affiliated. Since no one has contacted him regarding the aforementioned items, he does not believe any of them would affect the businesses of any of his relatives or his client; therefore, he would be voting on them.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Consent – Finance and Business Services

Item 3 – Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that the Real Estate Committee reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Brenda Descoteaux, 6717 Silver Spoon Drive, Brenda Descoteaux, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Bonnie Garcia, 3537 Falkenberg Street, Bonnie Garcia, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Sharon Anne King, 1110 Francis Avenue, Sharon A. King, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Eloisa McIntosh, 8728 Texas Ranger Avenue, Eloisa McIntosh, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Bridget Perera-Wijesundera, 6676 Lost Dutchman Drive, Bridget Perera-Wijesundera, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Child Care Center/Nursery/Preschool License subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes and Health Dept. regulations, Southwest Childcare Enterprises, Inc., dba Sweet Pea Learning Center, 7511 North Cimarron Road, Robert Alvarado, 95%, Linda Alvarado, 5% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Child Care Center/Nursery/Preschool License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event License for JAGLV (a Nevada Corporation), Location: Crowbar, 1113 South Rainbow Boulevard, Date: September 21, 2003, Type: Special Event General, Event: Indoor-Outdoor Event, Responsible Person in Charge: Joseph Mantico - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the locations involved in Items 10, 42, and 45 are all near SuperPawn stores, with which he is affiliated and are owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK. The location in Item 19 is near the Lady Luck, with which his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER has a contract. Portions of Item 26 are adjacent to both the SuperPawn and another property owned by his brother, as well as an office of Triple Five Development, a client of MK², with which he is affiliated. Since no one has contacted him regarding the aforementioned items, he does not believe any of them would affect the businesses of any of his relatives or his client; therefore, he would be voting on them.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event License for Fitzgeralds, Location: Hills Park, Date: August 30, 2003, Type: Special Event General, Event: Jazz Concert, Responsible Person in Charge: Scott Ringwood - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event License for Mexican Patriotic Committee, Location: Freedom Park, Mojave Road & Washington Avenue, Date: September 14, 2003, Type: Special Event Beer/Wine, Event: Annual Mexican Independence Celebration/Family Picnic, Responsible Person in Charge: Eddie Escobedo - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event License for Sandy Jones, Location: Doolittle Community Center, 1950 North J Street, Date: August 30, 2003, Type: Special Event Beer/Wine, Event: Family Reunion, Responsible Person in Charge: Sandy Jones - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Additional Nonprofit Club Restaurant Service Bar License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Italian American Club of Southern Nevada, dba Italian American Social Club of Southern Nevada, 2333 East Sahara Avenue, Joseph L. Lomanto, Pres - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Additional Nonprofit Club Restaurant Service Bar License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother’s pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law’s interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, E-T-T, Inc., db at Terrible's #257, 8425 West Centennial Parkway, Suite 150 - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to confirmation of approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD disclosed that she is an outside director of Station Casinos, and even though Item 15 involves a privileged license for gaming, it has no material impact on Station Casinos. Therefore, she would be voting.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Burglar Alarm Service License, Security Alarm Monitoring Services, Inc., dba Security Alarm Monitoring Services, Inc., 2421 Tech Center Court, #100, Martin J. Pollinger, Dir, Pres, 50%, Christopher L. Mullins, Dir, Secy, Treas, 50% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Burglar Alarm Service License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Hypnotist License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Thomas H. Woods, dba Thomas H. Woods, From: 4750 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 34, To: 6317 Vicuna Drive, Thomas H. Woods, 100% - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Hypnotist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Pawnbroker License, a Class II Secondhand Dealer License and a Pistol Permit subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, BESD, Incorporated, dba Pioneer Loan & Jewelry, From: 111 North First Street, To: 520 North Eastern Avenue, Suite 150, Bill S. Drobkin, Dir, Pres, 50%, Erminia Drobkin, Dir, Secy, Treas, 50% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Pawnbroker License, a Class II Secondhand Dealer License and a Pistol Permit

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Contract No. 030228-LW, Project Management Services in support of the Post Office Renovation, Phases 1 and 2 - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: RAFI (\$253,855 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$253,855☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This contract provides for professional project management services in the renovation of the historic Post Office, located at 300 Stewart Avenue, and its conversion into a museum. The contract consists of seven (7) phases, of which the current approval funds only Phases 1 and 2 (Pre-Design and Schematic Design).

Although exempt from competitive bidding procedures (NRS 332.115.1(b), professional services), this award results from evaluation of proposals received under a competitive Request for Qualifications process.

PCC: L.Wheeler

POC: Robert Fielden - (702) 435-7234

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Contract No. 030228-LW, Project Management Services in support of the Post Office Renovation, Phases 1 and 2 to RAFI in the amount of \$253,855. Authority to execute contract on behalf of the City is given to the Purchasing Manager pursuant to R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Certificate - Disclosure of Ownership/Principals

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Consent – Finance and Business Services

Item 19 – Approval of award of Contract No. 030228-LW, Project Management Services in support of the Post Office Renovation, Phases I and 2 – Department of Public Works
– Award recommended to: RAFI

MOTION – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the locations involved in Items 10, 42, and 45 are all near SuperPawn stores, with which he is affiliated and are owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK. The location in Item 19 is near the Lady Luck, with which his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER has a contract. Portions of Item 26 are adjacent to both the SuperPawn and another property owned by his brother, as well as an office of Triple Five Development, a client of MK², with which he is affiliated. Since no one has contacted him regarding the aforementioned items, he does not believe any of them would affect the businesses of any of his relatives or his client; therefore, he would be voting on them.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Contract No. 040010-LW, Municipal Court Reengineering - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: INFOTECH SERVICES, DBA VENTURI TECHNOLOGY PARTNERS (\$144,000 - Special Revenue Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$144,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Information Technologies

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Special Revenue Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This contract will provide for consulting services for the Municipal Court, including software engineering, programming and analyst assistance for its information technologies process.

This requirement is exempt from competitive bidding pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(b), Professional Services.

PCC: L.Wheeler

POC: Chad Killpack - (800) 224-1286

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve the award of Contract No. 040010-LW, Municipal Court Reengineering to Infotech Services, dba Venturi Technology Partners from September 1, 2003 through March 7, 2004, with 4 six-month options at the bi-annual rate of \$144,000. Authority to execute contract per R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Certificate - Disclosure of Ownership/Principals

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of revision number two to purchase order 215173 to provide funding for additional automatic fuel dispensing and key processing systems under the terms and conditions of RFP 010029-JDF - Departments of Field Operations and Fire and Rescue - Award to: SER-CON, INC. (\$97,500 - Internal Service and Capital Projects Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$97,500

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations & Fire

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Internal Service/Capital Projects

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On July 17, 2002 and November 6, 2002, City Council approved the award of RFP 010029-JDF for automatic fuel dispensing and key processing systems in the amount of \$585,927.30. This request is to increase funding by \$97,500 (for a revised total of \$683,427.30) to accommodate additional fire stations, which are now reaching construction completion.

PCC: L.E. Davis

POC: Pamela E. Kissick - (702) 733-0044

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve revision number two to purchase order 215173 to Ser-Con, Inc. to provide additional funding for automatic fuel dispensing and key processing systems at new fire stations in the amount of \$97,500, for a revised total of \$683,427.30.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of revision number one to purchase order 215417 for Inmate Linen and Uniform Service to Nevada Linen Supply - Department of Detention and Enforcement - Award to: NEVADA LINEN SUPPLY (\$15,000 - General Fund) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$15,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Detention and Enforcement

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On January 3, 2001, Council approved an annual requirements contract for inmate linen and uniform service through September 30, 2002, with three annual options to renew in the estimated annual amount of \$310,000. Due to increased inmate population, this revision is written to add an additional \$15,000 to the purchase order, for a revised total annual amount of \$325,000 to cover expenditures through expiration of the contract. Increased cost is offset by the increased revenue from bed rentals to accommodate Clark County and Federal inmates.

PCC: G. Leaf

POC: Steve Stith - (702) 454-1400

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve revision number one to purchase order 215417 to Nevada Linen Supply to add an additional \$15,000, for a total estimated annual amount of \$325,000, through the expiration of the contract.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Contract No. 040004-LW, ESRI Data Management Software Support and Maintenance - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: ESRI, INC. (\$93,816 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$93,816

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Information Technologies

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This contract will provide ESRI software support and maintenance for the Geographic Information System from date of award through July 26, 2004 with annual renewals as long as the competitive bidding exception applies.

This requirement is exempt from competitive bidding pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(h), software for computers.

PCC: L.Wheeler

POC: Erik Hajek - (909) 793-2853

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve award of Contract No. 040004-LW, ESRI Data Management Software Support and Maintenance in the estimated annual amount of \$93,816 through July 26, 2004 with annual renewals as long as the competitive bidding exception applies. Authority to execute contract per R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: MUNICIPAL COURT**DIRECTOR: JAMES P. CARMANY**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of international travel by Judge Bert Brown to Montreal, Canada to attend the 2003 Annual Educational Conference on September 14-19, 2003 in his capacity as a delegate representing the Western States for the American Judges Association/American Judges Foundation (\$2,187.45 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,187.45☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Municipal Court/Judges☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** CLV General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

City travel policy and procedure designates the "City Council as the Approving Authority for elected official travel to locations outside the United States." Judge Bert Brown is a delegate representing the Western States for the American Judges Association/American Judges Foundation. The conference in Montreal, Canada is the Foundation's 43 Annual Educational Conference. Judge Brown's delegacy will benefit both the Court and the City as he brings back new perspectives and educational insights.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the international travel by Judge Bert Brown to Montreal, Canada to attend as a delegate representing the Western States the 2003 Educational Conference of the American Judges Association/American Judges Foundation in the estimated amount of \$2,187.45.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

American Judges Association/American Judges Foundation 2003 Annual Educational Conference Schedule of Events

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of an allocation of \$100,000 Community Development Block Grant Economic Development funding for replacement of heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) system at the Opportunity Village Thrift Store and Processing Center at 921 South Main Street - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$100,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Opportunity Village is centralizing the Thrift Store and Processing Center vocational training and supported employment programs, rebuilding the Thrift Store customer base and making it the hub of Retail operations. The current HVAC system is aged and inadequate and incapable of sustained reliable use and of supplying a manageable working environment. Installation of a new HVAC system will save \$3,500 - \$4,000 annually in repair costs. In return for the funding, Opportunity Village agrees to create ten jobs, 51 percent of which will be offered to low and moderate-income workers.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council approve the allocation of \$100,000 of Community Development Block Grant funds to replace the heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) system at Opportunity Village Thrift Store and Processing Center.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Interlocal Contract #440 between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for the Fiscal Year 2004 Arterial Reconstruction Program to repair, resurface or reconstruct pavement to prolong the life of existing arterial roadways (\$6,494,000 - Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$6,494,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Regional Transportation Commission**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This Interlocal Contract applies to work to be done to prolong the life of existing arterial roadways. Roadway sections will be repaired, resurfaced or sections that are beyond repair will be removed and replaced. Where applicable, wheelchair ramps will be installed at curb returns. The Regional Transportation Commission Board of Directors approved this contract at their July 10, 2003 meeting. Total amount of this contract shall not exceed \$6,494,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract #440

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the locations involved in Items 10, 42, and 45 are all near SuperPawn stores, with which he is affiliated and are owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK. The location in Item 19 is near the Lady Luck, with which his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER has a contract. Portions of Item 26 are adjacent to both the SuperPawn and another property owned by his brother, as well as an office of Triple Five Development, a client of MK², with which he is affiliated. Since no one has contacted him regarding the aforementioned items, he does not believe any of them would affect the businesses of any of his relatives or his client; therefore, he would be voting on them.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Consent – Public Works

Item 26 – Approval of Interlocal Contract #440 between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for the Fiscal Year 2004 Arterial Reconstruction Program to repair, resurface or reconstruct pavement to prolong the life of existing arterial roadways

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Contract LLD.04.A.03 between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Flood Control District for construction of local drainage improvements in Holmby Channel (\$734,000 - Regional Flood Control District) - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$734,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Regional Flood Control District

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Interlocal Contract applies to all costs for construction to place in service Holmby Channel as identified on Exhibit "A" which is attached to and part of the Interlocal Contract. The Regional Flood Control District Board of Directors approved this contract at their July 10, 2003 meeting. Total amount of this contract shall not exceed \$734,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract LLD.04.A.03

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to appraise and purchase or condemn right-of-way parcels for the Tenaya Way Road Project between the Northern Beltway and Elkhorn Road (\$450,000 - Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$450,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Regional Transportation Commission

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to file an amendment to Right-of-Way Grant Number N-74967 with the Bureau of Land Management for Centennial Hills Park to add drainage purposes for portions of land lying within the Northeast Quarter of Section 21, Township 19 South, Range 60 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, generally located between the new Deer Springs Way alignment and the Wittig Avenue alignment, west of Buffalo Drive, APNs 125-21-601-007, -009, -701-005 – Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Easement and Rights-of-Way to Las Vegas Valley Water District, a quasi-municipal corporation for a portion of the Southwest Quarter of Section 25, Township 20 South, Range 61 East, Mount Diablo Meridian for a water facility easement in conjunction with the Frontier Girl Scouts site development located near the northwest corner of Harris Avenue and Mojave Road, APN 139-25-303-013 - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Supplemental Interlocal Contract #319b between the City of Las Vegas, Clark County, the City of North Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to decrease funding for construction of Ann Road, US-95 to Decatur Boulevard (\$1,125,000 reduction - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: \$1,125,000 reduction

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Regional Transportation Commission

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Supplemental Interlocal Contract 319b among the City of Las Vegas, Clark County, the City of North Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada will decrease funding for the Ann Road, US-95 to Decatur Boulevard project. The Regional Transportation Commission approved this contract at their April 10, 2003 Board meeting. Total cost of this project shall not exceed \$13,586,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract #319b

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from AutoZone, Incorporated, on behalf of Tropicana Rainbow, LLC, owner (northwest corner of Sahara Avenue and Sixth Street) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment consists of an approximate 2.5' wide area of landscaping on the north side of Sahara Avenue extending approximately 102' westward from Sixth Street and an approximate 4.5' wide area of landscaping on the west side of Sixth Street extending approximately 184' northward from Sahara Avenue for the proposed AutoZone Store #5730. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (northwest corner of Sahara Avenue and Sixth Street)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Tetra Tech, Incorporated, on behalf of U.S. Home Corporation, owner (northwest corner of Jones Boulevard and Tropical Parkway) - Ward 6 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment consists of an approximate 5' wide area of landscaping on the west side of Jones Boulevard extending approximately 593' northward from Tropical Parkway and an approximate 5' wide area of landscaping on the north side of Tropical Parkway extending approximately 584' westward from Jones Boulevard consisting of crushed rock ground cover for the Jones/Azure subdivision. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has condition of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (northwest corner of Jones Boulevard and Tropical Parkway)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (half-street sections of Jones Boulevard and Tropical Parkway)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Bruce E. Bilyeu on behalf of DFA, LLC, owner (1722 West Bonanza Road) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment consists of landscaping on the north side of Bonanza Road extending westerly and easterly from the property's driveway consisting of trees, shrubs, ground cover, and an irrigation system for the remodeling of the property at 1722 West Bonanza Road. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (1722 West Bonanza Road)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Tetra Tech, Incorporated, on behalf of Spinnaker Homes V, LLC, owner (Campbell Road at Dorrell Lane) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of landscaping adjacent to the subdivision property lines along Campbell Road, Dorrell Lane, Tree Harbor Street, and Summerbrooke Street. The landscaping will consist of trees, shrubs, ground cover, and an irrigation system to meet Town Center Landscaping Requirements for the Spinnaker at Town Center Units 1, 2A, and 3 subdivisions. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (Campbell Road north of Dorrell Lane)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (Campbell Road south of Dorrell Lane)
3. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "C" (Tree Harbor Street south of Dorrell Lane)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Centex Homes, owner (Grand Teton Drive west of Cimarron Road) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment consists of an approximate 5' wide area of stamped concrete with an approximate 4' tall flood wall with equestrian fence on the south side of Grand Teton Drive extending approximately 1,291' westward from Cimarron Road and landscaping on the medians in the Grand Teton Drive right-of-way extending approximately 1,011' westward from Cimarron Road consisting of 24" box trees for the proposed Santa Bella 3 subdivision. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which as conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (Grand Teton Drive west of Cimarron Road)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (Grand Teton Drive)
3. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "C" (typical section of Grand Teton Drive)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Integrity Engineering on behalf of Apache Cheyenne, LLC, owner (northwest corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Grand Canyon Drive) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of landscaping at the northwest corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Grand Canyon Drive consisting of trees, shrubs, rocks, ground cover, and an irrigation system for a proposed Storage One. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (northwest corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Grand Canyon Drive)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from KB Home Nevada, Incorporated, owner (Fort Apache Road between Deer Springs Way and Bath Drive) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of landscaping of the medians on Fort Apache Road between Deer Springs Way and Bath Drive consisting of trees, shrubs, ground cover, and an irrigation system which will meet Town Center Landscaping Requirements for the proposed Sierra Hills Unit 1 subdivision. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (Fort Apache Road between Deer Springs Way and Bath Drive)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (typical section of Fort Apache Road)

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Dekker Perich Holmes Sabatini LTD for Architectural Design and Construction Contract Administration Services of the new Mirabelli Community Center located at 6200 Elton Avenue (\$391,000) - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$391,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PublicWorks/Eng. Integration

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund CIP

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas desires to replace the existing Mirabelli Community Center with a new 31,000 sq.ft. facility that will include a new site parking, gymnasium, multi-purpose room, special events rooms, game room, fitness area, instructional kitchen, classrooms, staff offices and upgrades to the adjacent park area site.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the negotiated Professional Services Agreement with Dekker/Perich/Holmes/Sabatini Inc. for Design Services of Mirabelli Community Center in the amount of \$391,000 and approve an Additional Services contingency reserve of \$40,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with DEC Inc. for the engineering services of Doolittle Concession and Restroom Building located at Lake Mead and J Street (\$28,050 - Community Development Block Grant [CDBG] Funds) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$28,050☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PublicWorks/Eng. Integration☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** CDBG Funds**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Engineering services for a concession and restroom building prototype. This Agreement supersedes the previous designated services agreement between the parties dated January 8, 2002 for the project having a total fee of \$28,050. It is understood between the parties that this new Agreement is an uninterrupted continuation of the services provided under the previous agreement for the project, and that any funds paid by the City to the Consultant for services provided under the previous agreement shall be applied to the total fee of this Agreement.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the negotiated Professional Service Agreement with DEC Inc., for the engineering services of Doolittle Concession Restroom Building in the amount of \$28,050 and approve an additional services contingency reserve of \$2,400.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Westar Architects for the design services of Freedom Park Pool located at Freedom Park at the intersections of Pecos Road and Washington Avenue (\$223,025 - Recreation Fund) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$223,025

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Eng. Integration

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Recreation Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

To provide complete design and construction documents for bid, to construct a new multi-use pool facility and bathhouse.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the negotiated Professional Service Agreement with Westar Architects for the design services of Freedom Park Pool in the amount of \$223,025 and approve an Additional Services contingency reserve of \$22,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Interlocal Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the Nevada Department of Transportation for the Installation of Conduit and Pull Boxes on Rancho Drive from Washington Avenue to US 95 (\$575,000 - Donation Trust Fund) - Wards 5 and 6 (Weekly and Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$575,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/Traffic Engineering☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Donation Trust Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The city of Las Vegas and the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) have entered into an agreement in which NDOT will install conduit and pull boxes for traffic signals located within the city on Rancho Drive from Washington Avenue to US 95. The city will reimburse NDOT for their incurred project costs.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the locations involved in Items 10, 42, and 45 are all near SuperPawn stores, with which he is affiliated and are owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK. The location in Item 19 is near the Lady Luck, with which his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER has a contract. Portions of Item 26 are adjacent to both the SuperPawn and another property owned by his brother, as well as an office of Triple Five Development, a client of MK², with which he is affiliated. Since no one has contacted him regarding the aforementioned items, he does not believe any of them would affect the businesses of any of his relatives or his client; therefore, he would be voting on them.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Interlocal Agreement to establish funding for the Las Vegas Wash activities for FY03-04 (\$266,266 - Sanitation Fund) - County

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$266,266

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Environmental

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Sanitation Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On April 19, 2000, the Council approved the Las Vegas Wash Comprehensive Adaptive Management Plan that authorized interlocal agreements for implementation. It is the desire of all parties to this agreement to continue, again this year, to allocate funds for the capital and operating expenses of this project. The subject interlocal agreement funds the City's 38.5% share of the unfunded budget.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Interlocal Agreement
2. Las Vegas Wash Coordination Team Work Plan Operating Budget FY03-04

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

R-110-2003 - Approval of a resolution authorizing reimbursement of prior expenditures from bond proceeds and calling a public hearing on the incurrence of medium-term obligations for a recreational project not to exceed \$20,000,000 - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Pursuant to Sections 7.020 and 8.110 of the Charter, the City is authorized to borrow money for any municipal purpose and for such purpose may issue bonds or other securities, pursuant to NRS 268.672 to 268.740, inclusive. The City expects to incur certain expenditures relating to the construction of a recreational project prior to obtaining permanent financing, and the City intends to reimburse itself for such prior expenditures with proceeds of a medium term obligation (the "Obligation") issued in the maximum principal amount of \$20,000,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Council adopt this resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-110- 2003 - Medium-Term Recreation Bonds Reimbursement Resolution

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

R-111-2003 - Approval of a Resolution Awarding Bid for Special Improvement District No. 1499 - Alexander Road (US-95 to Rancho Drive) (\$66,465.36 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$66,465.36☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/SID☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The construction and installation of pavement, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalk, driveway approaches, water laterals and mains, sewer laterals, and streetlights.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-111-2003

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the locations involved in Items 10, 42, and 45 are all near SuperPawn stores, with which he is affiliated and are owned by his brother, STEVEN MACK. The location in Item 19 is near the Lady Luck, with which his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER has a contract. Portions of Item 26 are adjacent to both the SuperPawn and another property owned by his brother, as well as an office of Triple Five Development, a client of MK², with which he is affiliated. Since no one has contacted him regarding the aforementioned items, he does not believe any of them would affect the businesses of any of his relatives or his client; therefore, he would be voting on them.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-112-2003 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Thirty-Sixth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 404 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, water, sanitary sewer, storm sewer, curb and gutter and sidewalk project. Parcel is located in Mira Villa - Unit 1.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-112-2003

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-113-2003 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Thirty-Sixth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report for Special Improvement District No. 404 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, water, sanitary sewer, storm sewer, curb and gutter and sidewalk project. Parcel is located in Mira Villa - Unit 1.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-113-2003

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-114-2003 - Approval of a Resolution to Transfer Fees from License Plates Commemorating the City of Las Vegas 100th Anniversary to the Commission for the Las Vegas Centennial and providing for other matters properly related thereto

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In 2001, the Nevada Legislature enacted NRS 482.37903 which authorized the State of Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles to issue license plates which commemorate the 100th anniversary of the founding of the City of Las Vegas and collect fees which have been paid to the City. This Resolution authorizes the City to transfer the license plate fees to the Commission for the Las Vegas Centennial subject to compliance with the statute and certain requirements.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve this Resolution and authorize the Mayor to execute the same on its behalf.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-114-2003

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Approval of an Interlocal Agreement with jurisdictions regarding a proposed Regional Animal Shelter Campus between the City, Clark County, and the City of North Las Vegas located at the southeast corner of Harris Avenue and Manning Street known as APN 139-25-801-003 and portions of 139-25-405-007 and 139-25-801-002 - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

CLV, Clark County and NLV desire to enter into a joint and shared use agreement to lease approximately 6 to 8 acres of land from CLV. The proposal will expand the existing Lied Animal Shelter and describes the campus to include an extended stay adoption center, vet tech school and medical facility, a wellness clinic and special events community center. In addition, there would be space/facilities to allow other municipalities to locate their animal control services on campus to provide valley residents one central location to retrieve lost pets & participate in all other proposed services.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 8/18/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that the Real Estate Committee reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Approval of a Land Purchase Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Jerry Hamika for the sale of approximately 3.75 acres of vacant real property, identified as APN 139-25-405-005, located on the northwest corner of East Bonanza Road and North Mojave Road (\$800,000 revenue) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$800,000 revenue☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On December 6, 2000, the City Council authorized to market for sale the above referenced land. Staff has targeted this parcel to be marketed as a potential commercial location. Priority One Commercial, as the authorized agent of the City, accepted the offer. This agreement is contingent upon approval by the City Council and zoning and site development being approved.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 8/18/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval of the contract and dedicating the proceeds of this sale to be used for the purchase of land for recreation purposes or construction of recreation areas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Land Purchase Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that the Real Estate Committee reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Approval to authorize staff to submit a letter to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) requesting a modified-competitive sale of a portion of APNs 125-17-801-001 and 125-17-401-006 (approximately 4.65 acres), located near US 95 and Oso Blanca - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On 10/11/02, CLV received an unsolicited request from the adjacent landowner to purchase this portion of land. This parcel is a remnant piece that will be left over after full street improvements for US 95 and Oso Blanca are built. The net usable acreage will be less than 4.65 acres once street improvements are complete. It will best serve the area for development and roadway improvements to add this remnant piece to the adjoining property owner and allow for inclusion in future plans for this sector of the City.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 8/18/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Letter to BLM
2. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that the Real Estate Committee reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Approval of acceptance of a Quitclaim Deed in favor of the City of Las Vegas from the State of Nevada Department of Transportation for real property consisting of approximately 2.13 acres known as the Charleston Heights Neighborhood Preservation Park II, APN 138-35-111-009 (\$506,512.10 - Land/Parks) - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$506,512.10

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Land/Parks

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The State acquired 7.34 acres of land from the City to widen portions of US-95. Of these 7.34 acres, the State agreed to sell back to the City 2.13 acres, to develop into a public park, within six months of the receipt of a Certificate of Occupancy for the reconstructed O.K. Adcock Elementary School and the relocation of the students. A Certificate of Occupancy was received 2/26/2003. The State has deposited a Quitclaim Deed with Nevada Title Company and at the time that the City deposits the sales price amount, escrow will close.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 8/18/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that the Real Estate Committee reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Approval of a Landlord Estoppel Certificate and Agreement between the City of Las Vegas as Landlord, Steadfast Plaza, L.P. and Steadfast Creekside, L.P. as current Tenant agreeing to approve assignment to AMX I, LLC and AMX II, LLC for property located at 333 East Ogden Avenue commonly known as the Ogden Parking Garage (Lady Luck) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Lady Luck was previously purchased by Steadfast Plaza, L.P. and Steadfast Creekside L.P. These two L.P.'s have created parent companies whose names will now appear as the 100% owner of each of these organizations. The Landlord Estoppel Certificate and Agreement will bind AMX I, LLC and AMX II, LLC to all terms previously held by Steadfast Plaza, L.P. and Steadfast Creekside, L.P. for the parking garage lease.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 8/18/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Landlord Estoppel Certificate and Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that the Real Estate Committee reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Approval of a Fire and Rescue 5 Lease Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Benevolent Protective Order of Elks Las Vegas, Lodge #1468 located at Charleston Boulevard and Hinson Street (\$13 - General Fund Fire & Rescue) - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$13**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue/Suppression**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: General Fund Fire & Rescue****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas is replacing Fire Station #5 with a new fire station. As a result, the existing fire station was demolished, and fire department personnel were relocated to Fire Station #10 at 1501 Martin L King Boulevard. The Lease Agreement allows Fire & Rescue to return to their normal response district (for up to 13 months) for part of their shift, establish communication equipment in the rented space and provide the neighborhood with emergency services during high demand.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 8/18/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Fire & Rescue Station 5 Lease Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 3 through 54 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining on Item 18 because the action on that item could have a positive effect on one of his brother's pawnshops and on Item 53 because it relates to the Lady Luck Casino and could have an impact on his brother-in-law's interest

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that the Real Estate Committee reviewed all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(10:14 – 10:16)

1-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: IAIN VASEY, ACTING**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding authorization for officers of City Parkway IV-A, Inc. (CPY) to enter into a First Amendment to the Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) with PH GSA, LLC located at the northeast corner of F Street and Grand Central Parkway, APN-139-27-410-002 (Gain of \$2,000,000 - City Parkway IV-A, Inc.) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** Gain of \$2,000,000☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** City Parkway IV-A, Inc.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** City Parkway IV-A, Inc.**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In January 2002, the City Council passed a Resolution giving consent to a DDA with PH GSA, LLC for the 85,000 square foot IRS Headquarters plus a second phase of another 100,000 square foot building. This First Amendment would permit the developer to remove the \$2.5 million equity security position stipulation and replace it with a personal guarantee of \$2 million from developer Irwin Molasky to secure the \$2 million to be paid to CPY.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Disclosure of Principals
4. First Amendment to DDA

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

IAIN VASEY, Office of Business Development, explained that this is a request for an amendment to the development agreement that was approved in January between the City and City Parkway for a new Internal Revenue Service (IRS) headquarters. The development of the 85,000 square-foot building is proceeding on schedule and the developer intends to break ground in October. The developer is obligated to pay City Parkway \$2 million within five years, or as soon as they commence construction of the second-phase building on the site. The requested amendment would change the third tier protection for the \$2 million owed to City Parkway and retain the primary corporate note from PH GSA and the second deed of trust against the building. The developer is requesting to substitute a cash equity requirement for a personal guarantee from Irwin Molasky, the chairman of the Molasky Company, which is the parent of PH GSA.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Business Development

Item 55 – Discussion and possible action regarding authorization for officers of City Parkway IV-A, Inc. (CPY) to enter into a First Amendment to the Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) with PH GSA, LLC located at the northeast corner of F Street and Grand Central Parkway, APN-139-27-410-002

MINUTES – Continued:

NICK NIARCHOS, special counsel for City Parkway, reviewed the details of the amendment, which he believes will improve the security of the City's position in terms of its promissory note for the purchase price. The valuation of the building, for underwriting purposes, is about \$19.5 million, with the loan amount being about \$14.5 million, which will allow for a \$5 million equity cushion. This will allow for the \$2 million note to be secured under the deed of trust. In lieu of having required a cash investment into the project up front, the City will receive the personal guarantee of IRWIN MOLASKY, which is very favorable to the City. At the closing, staff will obtain the legal opinion of Lionel, Sawyer, and Collins as to the enforceability of the guarantee. The guarantee also includes a requirement that MR. MOLASKY has to maintain a net worth of at least five times the outstanding principal of the note. Additionally, the developer is obtaining a 15-year loan for this project, which means that the equity will increase more rapidly. He recommended approval.

RICHARD WORTHINGTON, Molasky Company, answered for MAYOR GOODMAN that they intend to commence construction in October and complete it within 14 months after that.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked MR. VASEY if the employment plan is in place and whether there will be any construction employment opportunities for people that reside within the geographical area of the new IRS building. MR. WORTHINGTON indicated that he, SUSAN SANDERS, and MR. MOLASKY met with several community leaders regarding construction job opportunities. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY said he gets a little concerned when community leaders start negotiating on behalf of the City.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY requested a meeting with MR. WORTHINGTON to discuss job opportunities for the residents in the area. MR. WORTHINGTON indicated that he would have MS. SANDERS contact his office to set up a meeting.

There was no further discussion.

(10:16 – 10:23)

1-1495

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Hearing, discussion and possible action regarding disciplinary complaint against Li Sheng Zhang d/b/a Joyful Massage Therapy, 2009 Paradise Road, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada for violation of Title 6 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Hearing, discussion and possible action regarding filing of disciplinary complaint.

RECOMMENDATION:

That disciplinary complaint be filed against Massage Establishment License No. M03-000105-4-092697.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Complaint for Disciplinary Action
2. Waiver of Respondent's Right to Hearing Within Sixty Days

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 56 to 9/3/2003 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

Under Item 1, CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC requested this matter be held to 9/3/2003 instead of 9/17/2003, as originally requested and motioned by COUNCILMAN REESE.

There was no further discussion.

(10:13 – 10:14)``

1-135

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Iorio & Iorio, Louis J. Iorio and Pumi Iorio, 100% jointly as husband and wife, To: An & An, dba Pumi Oriental Restaurant, 9026 West Sahara Avenue, #3E, Pyong C. An and Jae S. An, 100% jointly as husband and wife - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations with authority for the Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Paul An

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – APPROVED a temporary license subject to provisions as recommended, with the manager of Business Services granted the authority to approve the permanent license after completion of appropriate process - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicants were present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, reported that the applicants met all the requirements for consideration of temporary approval. He recommended approval as recommended under the Recommendation section above.

MR. AN requested approval.

There was no further discussion.

(10:23 – 10:28)

1-1769

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Reclassification From: Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale License, To: Package License subject to the provisions of the planning codes, KSH Enterprises, Inc., dba Allstar Minimart, 2339 North Jones Boulevard, George H. Shawshani, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% (NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #116 - SUP-2329) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Reclassification From: Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale License, To: Package License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

WEEKLY – DENIED – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining due to MK² being affiliated with Becker Investments Company and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 116 [SUP-2329] and Item 58 [Reclassification From Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale License To Package License – KSH Enterprises, Inc. dba Allstar Minimart] was held under Item 116 [SUP-2329]

(3:04 – 3:30)

3-3524

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a Six Month Review of a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale License, Rebel Oil Company, Inc., dba Rebel 65, 1720 West Charleston Boulevard, Sally A. Wallace, Mgr - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a Six Month Review of a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval without further review

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to a six-month (2/18/2004) review – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that he has an interest in the UMC Outpatient Medical Center, which is located across the street from the location involved in this location, and asked CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC if he should abstain. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC advised that if this matter does not affect the Mayor's property anymore than any other adjoining properties and he does not profit in anyway from the vote, then disclosure is necessary but not abstention.

MINUTES:

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, deferred to DETECTIVE STACY RODD, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro), to give an update of the investigative report.

DETECTIVE RODD indicated that a citation was issued during the six-month period. MS. WALLACE was not present at the time the citation was issued. However, Metro feels that, as the manager, MS. WALLACE is somewhat ultimately culpable because it is her responsibility that these types of violations do not occur during her absence.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Finance and Business Services

Item 59 – Discussion and possible action regarding a Six-Month Review of a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale License, Rebel Oil Company, Inc., dba Rebel 65, 1720 West Charleston Boulevard, Sally A. Wallace

MINUTES – Continued:

MS. WALLACE indicated that she is taking every effort to ensure that there are no more problems in the future.

There was no further discussion.

(10:25 – 10:28)

1-1837

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Location for a Class II Secondhand Dealer License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, CCS Guns, LLC, dba CCS Guns, From: 6107 Clarice Avenue, To: 2216 South Rainbow Boulevard, Chris F. Eifealtdt, Mmbr and Sandra K. Eifealtdt, Mmbr, 98% jointly as husband and wife (NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #117 - SUP-2530) - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Location for a Class II Secondhand Dealer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting, MACK abstaining due to his brother, STEVEN MACK, owning SuperPawn shops, which provide the same service as the applicant, and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 117 [SUP-2530] and Item 60 [Change of Location for a Class II Secondhand Dealer License – CCS Guns, LLC dba CCS Guns] was held under Item 117 [SUP-2530]

(3:30 – 3:35)

4-788

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Class II Secondhand Dealer License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, BESD, Incorporated, dba Pioneer Jewelry, 111 North First Street, Bill S. Drobkin, Dir, Pres, 50%, Erminia Drobkin, Dir, Secy, Treas, 50% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Class II Secondhand Dealer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes with authority for the Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Bill Drobkin
3. Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY - APPROVED the temporary license subject to provisions as recommended, with the manager of Business Services granted the authority to approve the permanent license after completion of appropriate process – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** not voting and **MACK** abstaining to avoid any possible conflict because his brother, **STEVEN MACK**, owns a SuperPawn nearby, with which he is affiliated, and because the request relates to the same type of service

MINUTES:

The applicants were present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, stated that the applicants are requesting approval of a secondhand dealer license in order to continue their business operation until they move their operation fully to 520 N. Eastern.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Finance and Business Services

Item 61 – Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Class II Secondhand Dealer License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, BESD, Incorporated, dba Pioneer Jewelry, 111 North First Street, Bill S. Drobkin, Dir, Pres, 50%, Erminia Drobkin, Dir, Secy, Treas, 50%

MINUTES – Continued:

MRS. DROBKIN said she would like to keep the retail aspect of her business downtown as long as possible. The secondhand dealer license is needed because the merchandise is mainly pre-owned. She hopes they can remain downtown. MAYOR GOODMAN said he would like their business to stay downtown.

There was no further discussion.

(10:28 – 10:30)

1-1952

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Non-restricted Gaming License subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, The Henry Brent Company, LLC, dba Lady Luck Casino, 206 North Third Street, Robert H. O'Neil, Mgr, Mmbr, 83 1/3%, Keith E. Grossman, Mgr, Mmbr, 16 2/3% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Non-restricted Gaming License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Bill Curran

MOTION:

WEEKLY - APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN not voting, MACK abstaining because his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER, has a business relationship with The Henry Brent Company and L.B. McDONALD abstaining because this matter involves a non-restricted gaming license and she is affiliated with Station Casinos

NOTE: Before considering this matter, MAYOR GOODMAN requested the opinion of CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC, as only four Council members could vote. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC advised that four members would suffice and the vote would have to be unanimous. If not, the matter would have to be trailed.

MINUTES:

The applicants were present, accompanied by ATTORNEY BILL CURRAN, Curran and Perry, 300 South Fourth Street.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, said that this matter involves the restructuring of the gaming license for the Lady Luck Casino.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Finance and Business Services

Item 62 – Discussion and possible action regarding a new Non-restricted Gaming License subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, The Henry Brent Company, LLC, dba Lady Luck Casino, 206 North Third Street, Robert H. O'Neil, Mgr, Mmbr, 83 1/3%, Keith E. Grossman, Mgr, Mmbr, 16 2/3%

MINUTES – Continued:

ATTORNEY CURRAN stated that the ownership structure has been restructured. The Gaming Control Board recommended approval to the Gaming Commission, which would be making its decision the following day. He added that the existing management of the casino will remain. A new ownership structure and management will take place at the top. The people that have been running the operation daily will remain.

MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that the Council members expect the Lady Luck to be good partners with the City of Las Vegas and help out with its dream for downtown redevelopment. He truly believes this is a very positive step.

There was no further discussion.

(10:30 – 10:33)

1-2040

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to approve the revised Qualification Plan and Application for City of Las Vegas Contractor Qualification Process - Department of Finance and Business Services - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Finance and Business Services☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On October 3, 2001, the City Council approved the Contractor Qualification Process for the period January 2, 2002 to January 1, 2004. During the 2003 Legislative session, NRS 338 was amended to include more specific criteria for contractor qualification, which local entities must adopt to qualify contractors to bid public work projects. These changes are effective October 1, 2003. It is necessary to revise our existing Qualification Plan and Application to incorporate the new criteria. Applications received under the new criteria will be evaluated and presented to Council for approval.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the revised Contractor Qualification Plan and Application.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Contractor Qualification Plan
3. Contractor Qualification Application

MOTION:

REESE –APPROVED as recommended, directing Purchasing staff to do outreach in the minority community to ensure a level playing field for all who want to do business with the City and to bring back before the Council at the 9/17/2003 agenda specific language to be added to the plan that speaks to certain criteria or demonstrated performance standards to which contractors will be held – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Finance and Business Services

Item 63 – Discussion and possible action to approve the revised Qualification Plan and Application for City of Las Vegas Contractor Qualification Process

APPEARANCES - Continued:

KATHY RAINEY, Manager, Purchasing and Contracts

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas

(10:33 – 10:41)

1-2171

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action to authorize the City Attorney-Criminal Budget to create the positions of a Deputy City Attorney I/II and a Legal Technician I/II and the purchase of the necessary furniture/equipment required to prosecute and support the demands of a caseload redistribution resulting from the Municipal Court decision to implement six departments hearing criminal/contested matters (Annual aggregate amount of \$204,690 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$204,690☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** City Attorney/Criminal☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request provides for the creation of the above mentioned positions as well as the furniture/equipment required. This action is necessitated by Municipal Court's decision to implement six full time court departments, hearing criminal/contested matters requiring a full time Deputy City Attorney & support personnel (Legal Technician I/II) to manage the redistribution of case load in a reorganized Municipal Court Department. Muni Court has asserted that the increased revenue from the new bail/fine schedule (to be implemented in August 2003) will provide sufficient revenue to augment the budget.

RECOMMENDATION:**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda Memo

Submitted after the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint

MOTION:**REESE – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

CLAUDETTE ENUS, Director, Human Resources, stated that this is an item to create positions to support redistribution of the caseload and reorganization within Municipal Court.

Using a PowerPoint document, JAMES CARMANY, Administrator of Municipal Court, explained that the Options Program was implemented in the spring of 2001, in conjunction with the City Attorney's office. This Program basically provided for the court clerks to be permitted, under standing orders of the Court, to resolve traffic citations that did not require a mandatory court appearance.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Human Resources

Item 64 – Discussion and possible action to authorize the City Attorney-Criminal Budget to create the positions of a Deputy City Attorney I/II and a Legal Technician I/II and the purchase of the necessary furniture/equipment required to prosecute and support the demands of a caseload redistribution resulting from the Municipal Court decision to implement six departments hearing criminal/contested matters

MINUTES – Continued:

The goals under this Program are to develop consistency within the Municipal Courts, simplify the process for the citizens of Las Vegas, reduce appearances, reduce time spent in Court, and improve customer service. Waiting time has already been reduced from 35 minutes to 10 minutes. And due to the ability to simplify many of the processes, the traffic school was put on the Internet, through which approximately 1,000 people have graduated.

In terms of annual revenues, in 2002 revenues went from \$7.7 million to \$9.3 million and increased another \$200,000 in 2003, both without any increase in fines. Traffic filings consistently reduced from 2002 to the present; therefore, increased revenues were not due to traffic filings. Average revenue per case has gone up from \$57 to almost \$73 this year.

The current Options Program allows for 91%, about 600, of the defendants to be taken care of at the front counter. The other 200 must go into court and about 160 to 175 of those cases are resolved by court clerks. Approximately 25 to 40 cases must make a mandatory appearance before a judge in Department 2. But staff would like to be able to distribute those 25 to 40 cases to all six departments. In order to accomplish that, a city attorney is needed in Department 2.

MAYOR GOODMAN clarified that most of the traffic offenses handled at the front counter are not of a serious nature, such as reckless driving or DUI.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD asked CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC if he was in concurrence with this matter. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC responded in the affirmative, stating that Municipal Court will absorb the costs involved.

COUNCILMAN MACK expressed his appreciation to MR. CARMANY for meeting with him to explain the new software for Municipal Court. He opined that spreading the caseload will allow for financial efficiencies.

MAYOR GOODMAN fully supported this matter because he believes it will allow better customer service and increased revenues.

There was no further discussion.

(10:41 – 10:50)

1-2542

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES**DIRECTOR:** BARBARA P. JACKSON, DPA ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on a request from the City Manager's Office, submitted on behalf of Leisure Services, for an exemption from Ordinance Number 5616 (Water Conservation) to allow for the continuation of the use of Leisure Services water features - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Leisure Services/Admin.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Our recently adopted water use restrictions would---temporarily but indefinitely---eliminate such uses without the specific permission of the City Council for their continuation.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends City Council approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, Leisure Services, requested an exemption from Ordinance No. 5616 to allow the continued use of the water features in recreation facilities throughout the City.

COUNCILMAN MACK hoped his fellow Council members would support this exemption, because there has been an outcry from the community since the water conservation measures were recently adopted.

COUNCILMAN BROWN was concerned that the new conservation measures might impede the City's ability to maintain existing turfs at the playing fields, parks, and picnic areas. These recreational amenities amount to significant dollars. He fears that the new water conservation schedule might cause the City to reinvest public dollars in the future in order to maintain the standard of the fields, or the City might have to get out of the park business, which he truly believes is not an option, especially as constituents are asked to reduce their lawns and install desert landscaping.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Leisure Services

Item 65 – Discussion and possible action on a request from the City Manager's Office, submitted on behalf of Leisure Services, for an exemption from Ordinance Number 5616 (Water Conservation) to allow for the continuation of the use of Leisure Services water features

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed CITY MANAGER SELBY to review the Water District policy, because there is a potential conflict and he wants to ensure the City is in a position to clearly say whether this water conservation schedule will work for the City. CITY MANAGER SELBY indicated that he is in the process of reviewing the ordinance with the City Attorney for other reasons that deal with implementation and he can certainly incorporate some of the concerns COUNCILMAN BROWN cited. NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN instructed CITY MANAGER SELBY to include the “Little River” in his analysis.

There was no further discussion.

(10:50 – 10:55)

1-2989

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RESOLUTIONS:

R-115-2003 - Discussion and possible action on a Resolution amending Resolution R-103-2003 transferring 2003/2004 Private Activity Bond Volume Cap which adds a transfer of \$12,162,946 to the State Housing Division for the Single Family Loan Program within the city of Las Vegas and reserves \$13,680,000 for a future multifamily project - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On July 16, 2003, the City Council adopted Resolution No. R-103-2003 allocating a portion of its Private Activity Bond Volume Cap to several projects. This Resolution amends Resolution No. R-103-2003 to make an additional transfer of \$12,162,946 of Private Activity Bond Volume Cap to the State Housing Division for its Single Family Loan Program within the city of Las Vegas. In addition, \$13,680,000 of Volume Cap is reserved for a future multifamily project. None of the allocations made by Resolution R-103-2003 are changed.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of the Resolution and authorization of the Mayor to execute the Agreement with the subrecipient after it has been approved by the City Attorney.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-115-2003

MOTION:**REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

FAYE JOHNSON and LEONARD DIXON of Neighborhood Services were present. MS. JOHNSON indicated that the bond allocation must be transferred to the State of Nevada prior to August 31, 2003. The bond money can be designated for a future multifamily project. The single-family State loan program targets Las Vegas census tracts and make available mortgage funds for loans for low-income homebuyers. Over the past two years, they have serviced 841 mortgage loans for City of Las Vegas homeowners, which amounts to over \$92 million. Staff would like to help the State continue that program in order to further help local residents.

There was no further discussion.

(10:55 – 10:57)

1-3271

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: August 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: DOUGLAS A. SELBY**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

R-116-2003 - Discussion and possible action on a Resolution authorizing the pledge of Transportation District Room Taxes to assist in the financing of the extension of the monorail to downtown Las Vegas - Wards 1 and 5 (Moncrief and Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** To be determined☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Transportation Program Special Revenue Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Council hereby pledges to commit future unencumbered revenues from the Transportation District Room Tax to the financing of the construction of the monorail extension to downtown Las Vegas from Sahara Avenue.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-116-2003

Submitted at the meeting: Schedule of Activities to Achieve Full Funding Grant Agreement

MOTION:**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

JACOB SNOW, General Manager, Regional Transportation Commission (RTC), commented that the monorail project is progressing well in terms of the scheduling and coordination with the federal government. Every year, as part of the process of trying to obtain about \$160 million of financial capital, the monorail project must be rated. Recently, the RTC approved a commercial paper program for \$60 million. That combined with this commitment by the City of Las Vegas, along with approximately \$115 million in private revenue bonds and a loan in the amount of \$145 million from the federal government, will round up that financing package. As the project gets closer to culmination each year, the rigor and the amount of scrutiny are increased as Las Vegas' project is compared to the other 200 projects. Finalization of the revenue sources is necessary so that the project can continue to receive a recommended rating from the federal government.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Resolutions

Item 67 – R-116-2003

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. SNOW submitted an updated project schedule that shows a number of steps that must be completed before securing significant capital infusion from the federal government. There are still 17 steps remaining. The RTC expects to have the financing in place by this time next year. After the financing is complete, construction will commence and be completed within 35 months, so it should be up and running in downtown by July 2007.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked if the project could be completed before 2007. MR. SNOW answered that it would take a significant capital infusion from entities other than the federal government. MAYOR GOODMAN said that the monorail is critical to the success of the downtown area. He said that it is imperative that the project be pushed forward. MR. SNOW indicated that part of the plan is to relocate the Downtown Transportation Center to an area south of the Union Plaza and build a very large and attractive facility at that location.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY said this is a very exciting project that will greatly benefit the downtown area. He then requested a meeting with MR. SNOW and said that his office would contact him to set up a meeting date.

There was no further discussion.

(10:57 – 11:03)

13402/2-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR:** BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ CONSENT ☒ DISCUSSION**SUBJECT:**

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - PLANNING COMMISSION, Stephen P. Quinn, Term Expiration 6-2003**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$80 per meeting attended☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Planning & Development☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The term of office for Mr. Stephen P. Quinn on the Planning Commission expired in June 2003. With the enactment of Ordinance 5193 in January 2000, this appointment is coterminous with the appointing Councilmember's term of office. Mr. Quinn is a Ward 1 appointment. He has an excellent attendance record according to the Director of Planning & Development, is eligible for reappointment, and wishes to be reappointed. At the Council Meeting of July 16, 2003, this item was abeyed to August 20, 2003.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board is coterminous appointment by the appropriate member of Council.

Options are:

Appointment of a new representative OR

Reappointment of Mr. Quinn by Councilwoman Moncrief

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Current Listing & Authority - Planning Commission

Submitted at the meeting: written comments of Tom McGowan

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – Motion to APPOINT LEO DAVENPORT, GFD Investments, 540 St. Louis, Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 – UNANIMOUS with MACK and L.B. McDONALD excused

Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF said that MR. DAVENPORT is a 32-year resident of Las Vegas and is a certified residential mortgage specialist. He has served on numerous committees over the years.

TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, questioned why MR. QUINN was not reappointed. MAYOR GOODMAN advised COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF that she did not have to answer.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Boards & Commissions
Item 68 – Planning Commission

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(11:57 – 12:00)
2-1990

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO RONEMUS**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

Discussion and possible action on the appointment of a City of Las Vegas representative on the Las Vegas Springs Preserve Board of Trustees

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Las Vegas Springs Preserve, also known as the Las Vegas Valley Water District's North Well Field, is recognized as containing significant prehistoric and historic cultural resources. To promote the preservation and management of this area, the Las Vegas Valley Water District's Board of Directors established the Las Vegas Springs Preserve Board of Trustees. This board is comprised of nine community members, one of which is a member of the Las Vegas City Council. Members serve until a successor is appointed and there is no compensation for serving on this board. Former Councilman Michael McDonald served in this capacity and it will be necessary to appoint a new representative to fill this seat.

RECOMMENDATION:

Appoint a member of the Las Vegas City Council to serve on the Las Vegas Springs Board of Trustees representing the City of Las Vegas

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

GOODMAN – APPOINTED himself as the City's representative – UNANIMOUS with MACK and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN said that he was asked to serve.

There was no further discussion.

(12:00)

2-2091

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

SENIOR CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD – Jerry Kosbab, Term Expired 6/2003; Hazel Geran, Term Expired 6/2003; Beverly Johnson Bass, Term Expired 6/2003; Rudolph Durso, Term Expired 6/2003; Robert Goldstein, Term Expired 6/2003

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Senior Citizens Advisory Board was created upon Council adoption of Ordinance 5206 on April 19, 2000. This Board consists of eight members appointed by the City Council. Each of the six members of Council has one coterminous appointment with the appointee residing in the respective member of Council's Ward; the Mayor has two coterminous appointments with members residing in two different Wards. Members may serve for an uninterrupted period not longer than eight years (two terms). Presently, terms of office of the representatives from Wards 1, 3, and 5, and the Mayor's two representatives have expired. It will be necessary for Mayor Goodman, Councilman Reese, Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief to reappoint or appoint new representatives.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board is coterminous appointment by the appropriate member of Council and appointee must reside in Ward. Options are:

Councilman Reese: appoint new representative OR Reappoint Jerry Kosbab

Councilman Weekly: appoint new representative OR Reappoint Hazel Geran

Councilwoman Moncrief: appoint new representative OR Reappoint Robert Goldstein

Mayor Goodman to appoint two new representatives from any two different Wards OR Reappoint Beverly Johnson Bass and Rudolph Durso

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Current Listing & Authority - Senior Citizens' Advisory Board

MOTION:

GOODMAN – APPOINTED AL GALLEG0 (Goodman's recommendation), 711 Bell Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 and REAPPOINTED JERRY KOSBAB (Reese's recommendation), HAZEL GERAN (Weekly's recommendation), ROBERT GOLDSTEIN (Moncrief's recommendation). and RUDOLPH DURSO (Goodman's recommendation) – UNANIMOUS with MACK and L.B. McDONALD excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Boards & Commissions
Item 70 – Senior Citizens Advisory Board

MOTION - Continued:

Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

MARIA CASTILLO-COUCH, Neighborhood Services, was present.

There was no further discussion.

(12:00 – 12:04)

2-2113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: DOUGLAS SELBY**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARD AND COMMISSIONS:**

Discussion and possible action regarding an Operating Agreement with the Commission for the Las Vegas Centennial for operating guidelines and staff services

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This Operating Agreement will require the Commission for the Las Vegas Centennial to operate within certain guidelines and state laws. The Agreement will also provide City staff services to the Commission for the Las Vegas Centennial to facilitate the corporation's goals and objectives to commemorate and promote the 100th anniversary of the founding of the City of Las Vegas. City will be compensated \$500 a month for the staff services. The Centennial will pay the City of Las Vegas \$6,000 per year.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve the Operating Agreement and the Mayor be authorized to execute the same on its behalf.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Operating Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL said that this matter is in order for approval. It established a relationship between the City and the Centennial Committee to provide financial, legal, and other administrative assistance to help coordinate the Centennial Celebration.

There was no further discussion.

(12:04)

2-2268

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: IAIN VASEY (ACTING)

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS:

Discussion and possible action regarding the First Amendment to the Bylaws of Office District Parking I, Inc.

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This First Amendment will delete a provision, which does not allow City Council members and the City Manager to be voting members of the Board of Directors. Approval of this Amendment deletes that provision and shall provide more flexibility in the appointment of Directors.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve the First Amendment to the Bylaws.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

First Amendment to the Bylaws

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 72 through 75 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TERI PONTICELLO indicated that this amendment deletes a provision in the current bylaws, which did not allow any member of the City Manager's office or the City Council to be a participating voting member of the Board of Directors. Staff recommends approval of this amendment.

There was no further discussion.

(12:04 – 12:06)

2-2295

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: IAIN VASEY (ACTING)

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS:

Discussion and possible action regarding the First Amendment to the Bylaws of City Parkway IV, Inc.

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This First Amendment will delete a provision, which does not allow City Council members and the City Manager to be voting members of the Board of Directors. Approval of this Amendment deletes that provision and shall provide more flexibility in the appointment of Directors.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve the First Amendment to the Bylaws.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

First Amendment to the Bylaws

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 72 through 75 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TERI PONTICELLO was present.

See Item 72 for all related discussion.

(12:04 – 12:06)

2-2295

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: IAIN VASEY (ACTING)

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS:

Discussion and possible action regarding the First Amendment to the Bylaws of City Parkway IV A, Inc.

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This First Amendment will delete a provision, which does not allow City Council members and the City Manager to be voting members of the Board of Directors. Approval of this Amendment deletes that provision and shall provide more flexibility in the appointment of Directors.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve the First Amendment to the Bylaws.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

First Amendment to the Bylaws

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 72 through 75 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TERI PONTICELLO was present.

See Item 72 for all related discussion.

(12:04 – 12:06)

2-2295

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: IAIN VASEY (ACTING)**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS:**

Discussion and possible action regarding the First Amendment to the Bylaws of City Parkway V, Inc.

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This First Amendment will delete a provision, which does not allow City Council members and the City Manager to be voting members of the Board of Directors. Approval of this Amendment deletes that provision and shall provide more flexibility in the appointment of Directors.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve the First Amendment to the Bylaws.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

First Amendment to the Bylaws

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Items 72 through 75 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with MACK and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TERI PONTICELLO was present.

See Item 72 for all related discussion.

(12:04 – 12:06)

2-2295

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: IAIN VASEY (ACTING)

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS:

Office District Parking I, Inc. - Lesa Coder, Resignation of Director

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Bylaws of Office District Parking I, Inc. requires two (2) Directors to be appointed by the City Council. Due to the resignation of Lesa Coder, the City Council is required to appoint a new Director.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that Doug Selby be appointed as a Director to Office District Parking I, Inc.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPOINTED DOUG SELBY, as recommended, on Items 76 through 79 – UNANIMOUS with MACK and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:06 – 12:07)

2-2349

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: IAIN VASEY (ACTING)

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS:

City Parkway IV, Inc. - Lesa Coder, Resignation of Director

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Bylaws of City Parkway IV, Inc. requires two (2) Directors to be appointed by the City Council. Due to the resignation of Lesa Coder, the City Council is required to appoint a new Director.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that Doug Selby be appointed as a Director to City Parkway IV, Inc.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPOINTED DOUG SELBY, as recommended, on Items 76 through 79 – UNANIMOUS with MACK and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:06 – 12:07)

2-2349

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: IAIN VASEY (ACTING)

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS:

City Parkway IV A, Inc. - Lesa Coder, Resignation of Director

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Bylaws of City Parkway IV A, Inc. requires two (2) Directors to be appointed by the City Council. Due to the resignation of Lesa Coder, the City Council is required to appoint a new Director.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that Doug Selby be appointed as a Director to City Parkway IV A, Inc.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPOINTED DOUG SELBY, as recommended, on Items 76 through 79 – UNANIMOUS with MACK and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:06 – 12:07)

2-2349

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: IAIN VASEY (ACTING)

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS:

City Parkway V, Inc. - Lesa Coder, Resignation of Director

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Bylaws of City Parkway V, Inc. requires two (2) Directors to be appointed by the City Council. Due to the resignation of Lesa Coder, the City Council is required to appoint a new Director.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that Doug Selby be appointed as a Director to City Parkway V, Inc.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPOINTED DOUG SELBY, as recommended, on Items 76 through 79 – UNANIMOUS with MACK and L.B. McDONALD excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(12:06 – 12:07)

2-2349

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Discussion and possible action to clarify an Encroachment Agreement with John T. Moran Jr. and Marilyn Moran for a portion of the alley behind the Morans' property generally located at 628 and 630 South Fourth Street - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City owns the public right-of-way of the alley behind the Morans' property. The City is in the process of a vacation of the entire alley behind the Morans' property and following completion of such vacation process, the City intends to convey its half of the vacated alley behind the Morans' property to the Morans. In the interim, the Morans have requested that they be permitted to construct a block wall and parking lot on said right-of-way.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 8/18/2003 Real Estate Committee forwarded Councilwoman Moncrief's recommendation to full Council for clarification. Staff recommends approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Encroachment Agreement

Submitted at the meeting: copy of written comments of Tom McGowan for Items 80 and 81

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED as recommended – motion carried with MONCRIEF and L.B. McDONALD voting NO

NOTE: COUNCILMEMBERS MACK and L.B. McDONALD participated in this matter via telephone conference call from 11:25 to 11:57 a.m.

NOTE: A previous motion by Moncrief to allow the encroachment but not to deed the property failed with Moncrief and L.B. McDonald voting YES.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that he sold his interest to the downtown law office, but still has interest in property located at Casino Center and Bonneville; however, that would not impede his ability to participate in this matter.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Real Estate

Item 80 – Discussion and possible action to clarify an Encroachment Agreement with John T. Moran Jr. and Marilyn Moran for a portion of the alley behind the Morans' property generally located at 628 and 630 South Fourth Street

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Items 80 and 81 is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 80.

APPEARANCES:

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

JOHN T. MORAN JR.

MARILYN MORAN

CHRIS CHRISTOFF

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident

(11:03 – 11:57)

2-45

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Discussion and possible action to preauthorize Deputy City Manager Steve Houchens (as Secretary of Office District Parking I, Inc. - "ODP Inc.") to execute a Quitclaim Deed, from ODP Inc. to John T. Moran, Jr. and Marilyn Moran, husband and wife, for ODP Inc.'s portion of the vacated alley behind the Morans' property generally located at 628 and 630 South Fourth Street, in coordination with the vacation process - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City owns the public right-of-way of the alley behind the Morans' property. The City is in the process of a vacation of the entire alley behind the Morans' property and following the completion of such vacation process, the City intends to convey its half of the vacated alley (which will then revert to Office District Parking I, Inc. as a matter of law) to the Morans. This action will allow the Deputy City Manager to coordinate the vacation process with the conveyance so that once the vacation is recorded by the City, the Morans will receive both their half of the vacated alley and the City's half, and such portions will both be included in the Morans' property description.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 8/18/2003 Real Estate Committee forwarded this item to full Council with a no pass recommendation. Staff recommends preauthorizing Deputy City Manager Houchens to execute the required Quitclaim Deed to accomplish the vacation of the alley behind the Morans property in coordination with the conveyance to the Morans of the entire alley behind their property.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: copy of written comments of Tom McGowan for Items 80 and 81 (filed under Item 80)

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED as recommended – motion carried with **MONCRIEF** and **L.B. McDONALD** voting **NO**

NOTE: COUNCILMEMBERS MACK and L.B. McDONALD participated in this matter via telephone conference call from 11:25 to 11:57 a.m.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Real Estate

Item 81 – Discussion and possible action to preauthorize Deputy City Manager Steve Houchens (as Secretary of Office District Parking I, Inc. - "ODP Inc.") to execute a Quitclaim Deed, from ODP Inc. to John T. Moran, Jr. and Marilyn Moran, husband and wife, for ODP Inc.'s portion of the vacated alley behind the Morans' property generally located at 628 and 630 South Fourth Street, in coordination with the vacation process

MOTION - Continued:

NOTE: A previous motion by Moncrief for denial failed with Moncrief and L.B. McDonald voting YES.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed the sold his interest to the downtown law office, but still has interest in property located at Casino Center and Bonneville; however, that would not impede his ability to participate in this matter.

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Items 80 and 81 is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 80.

APPEARANCES:

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

JOHN T. MORAN JR.

MARILYN MORAN

CHRIS CHRISTOFF

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident

(11:03 – 11:57)

2-45

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2003-66 – Annexation No. ANX-2172 – Property location: On the north side of Alexander Road, 300 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive; Petitioned by: Rea Dantzig, et al.; Acreage: 4.95 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), to U (R) and U (RNP) (City equivalents). Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Alexander Road, 300 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (September 12, 2003) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 9/3/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/18/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 8/6/2003; First Publication – 8/22/2003

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

9/3/2003 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. Z-2003-1 – Amends the City’s Official Zoning Map Atlas by changing the zoning designations of certain parcels of land. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will amend the zoning map to change the zoning designations of approximately 9,000 parcels. The rezoning of these parcels has already been approved by the City Council. This bill merely formalizes the rezoning by ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 9/3/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/18/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 8/6/2003; First Publication – 8/22/2003

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

9/3/2003 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2003-67 – Revises the provisions regarding the on-site parking and storage of vehicles in residential districts. Sponsored by: Councilman Gary Reese and Councilman Lawrence Weekly

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City's zoning regulations describe the extent to which vehicles may be parked or stored in the front and side yard area of residential lots. The regulations currently allow vehicles to be stored on driveways and at other locations that are paved or have gravel or chat surfaces. This bill would eliminate the ability to store vehicles at those other locations unless the surface is paved.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 9/3/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/18/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 8/6/2003; First Publication – 8/22/2003

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

9/3/2003 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2003-68 – Annexation No. ANX-1844 – Property location: On the west side of Jones Boulevard, 790 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue; Petitioned by: Joseph and J. M. Provenzano; Acreage: 1.14 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Jones Boulevard, 790 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (September 26, 2003) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-68 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEMBERS WEEKLY and MONCRIEF.

9/2/2003 Recommending Committee

9/3/2003 Council Agenda

(12:07)

2-2410

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 12:07 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wish to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 120 [ZON-2457] and Item 121 [SDR-2458] to 9/3/2003 and Item 111 [VAR-2538] and Item 112 [SUP-2537] to 9/17/2003, TABLE Item 130 [GPA-2497] and Item 140 [GPA-2570], and Accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 141 [ZON-2573] and Item 142 [VAR-2578] – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN introduced the former Mayor of Reno, JEFF GRIFFIN, who is currently the Western Director for FEMA, MIKE BURNS, with the Department of Homeland Security, and FRANK SIRACUSA with the State of Nevada. He advised that these individuals attended a City briefing earlier today regarding the state of emergency and offered their assistance, if needed.

MAYOR GOODMAN announced that COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD and COUNCILMAN MACK participated in a helicopter tour conducted by Metro to survey the storm damage. Their report indicated that the streets were currently in good condition. MAYOR GOODMAN went on to provide an update regarding the status of the City following the storm and flash flooding and indicated that preliminary damage estimates for City facilities and infrastructure only were in the range of \$1.2 million to \$1.5 million. He also reported that flash flooding was impacting sections of Interstate 15 in California. He provided emergency contact telephone numbers and an emergency web site address.

There was no further discussion.

(1:37 – 1:47)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Public Hearing on proposed local improvement district for Special Improvement District No. 1502 - Grand Montecito Parkway (Centennial Parkway to Elkhorn Road) (\$6,946,446.37 - Capital Projects Fund/Special Assessments) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$6,946,446.37☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/SID☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund/Special Assessments**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Grand Montecito Parkway is part of the Town Center loop road system and is funded 100% by the Special Improvement District. The project will include installation of full width pavement, median islands, storm drain facilities, curb and gutter, streetlights, commercial driveways, fire hydrants, sewer mains and laterals, and water mains and laterals. The SID will be paid over a 20-year period. The basis of assessment is frontage. Construction is expected to begin in late 2003.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public hearing only; no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Public Hearing Notice

MINUTES:

NOTE: Subsequent to the minutes, a Verbatim Transcript was made a part of the final Minutes.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works, advised that this item had been held in abeyance in order to meet with the owners of the Binion property. He is uncertain at this time whether or not a definite conclusion can be reached. He indicated he had suggested to the Binions that they attend the Council meeting and place their comments on record. After completion of the project, final assessments will be established. Hopefully, by that time, more information will be available as to how this property develops.

MIKE LEAVITT, 601 Bridger, appeared on behalf of JACK and PHYLLIS BINION, owners of the property in question. He indicated that CHARLES KAJKOWSKI and other City staff members had addressed their concerns, and they do not have a problem participating in this project. However, he emphasized that coordination and cooperation between the City and the adjoining property owners is very important.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Public Works Department
Item 87 – Special Improvement District No. 1502

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing closed.

(1:47 – 1:49)

3-304

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing, discussion and possible action on the Capital Improvements Plan for the City's Traffic Signal Impact Fee Program - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/ Traffic/Eng. Integration☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In accordance with NRS 278B.020 and NRS 278B.190, to proceed with the implementation of an Traffic Signal Impact Fee system, a Capital Improvements Plan must be developed and adopted by a local government. This is the second of a three step process; the approval of an ordinance will follow. At this time, the impact fee program will be for the funding of traffic signals throughout the City of Las Vegas.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Capital Improvements Plan and direct staff to take the necessary steps to continue with implementation of this program.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. 2003 - 2013 Capital Improvements Plan
2. Potential Traffic Signal Impact Fee Schedule
3. Map
4. Public Hearing Notice
5. Submitted at City Council – Letters of appreciation from NAIOP, AGC and Southern Nevada Home Builders Association

MOTION:**REESE – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works, indicated that City staff has been working on this traffic signal impact fee program for several years. In this effort, they have worked closely with AGC, NAIOP, and the Southern Nevada Home Builders. He advised that a prior agenda item addressed adoption of the Land Use Assumptions, and this action leads to the adoption of a ten-year Capital Improvement Plan that includes a traffic signal impact fee schedule and projects the number of traffic signals to be constructed in the next ten years.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003

Public Works Department

Item 88 – Capital Improvement Plan – Traffic Signal Impact Fee Program

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. GOECKE placed on record letters from NAIOP, AGC, and the Southern Nevada Home Builders, all of which expressed appreciation to the City for working with them and addressing their concerns. Southern Nevada Home Builders expressed the desire to meet with the City in one year to review the program, and MR. GOECKE felt this was a very good idea. He stated the item is in order and staff recommends approval.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, inquired as to whether or not there was an inspection program in place for traffic signal infrastructure in order to avoid a tragedy such as the one that recently occurred on the Las Vegas Strip. MR. GOECKE replied that he was currently in the process of developing a report on that subject for DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing closed.

(1:49 – 1:52)

3-380

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 75 Ronald Lane. PROPERTY OWNER: G M A C MORTGAGE CORPORATION, c/o GOVT POST SALE DEPT. - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$3,867.00☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem by boarding and securing the vacant house; removing high/dead vegetation, broken glass, refuse, waste, miscellaneous items, plywood structures, dilapidated fencing, and abandoned vehicles; and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$3,867.00 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 89 – 75 Ronald Lane

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by boarding and securing the vacant house, removing high/dead vegetation, broken glass, refuse, waste, miscellaneous items, plywood structures, dilapidated fencing and abandoned vehicles. In addition, “No Trespassing” signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$3,867.00 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer’s Office.

The property owner was not present.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked if the owner had submitted a proposal as to what would be done with the property. These abandoned properties have been safety hazards for a very long time and need to be cleaned up. MR. SEMENZA replied that the property has reverted back to the mortgage company and City staff has not been provided with any information.

MAYOR GOODMAN indicated the City is working on the problem of abandoned properties. In fact, he and DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY DAN STILL recently attended a FEMA program in Emmitsburg, Maryland. He understands that resolution of this problem is of great importance to the City. In this effort, DEPUTY ATTORNEY STILL has researched the matter and developed a preliminary report. There are some constitutional and jurisdictional issues involved, but the City intends to solve the problem.

In response to MR. FARLOW’S comments, COUNCILMAN REESE indicated that everyone shares the same concerns. He thanked MR. SEMENZA for the great job being done by Neighborhood Services.

MAYOR GOODMAN expressed the hope that entities such as mortgage companies and banks were treated the same as individuals. MR. SEMENZA confirmed that they were and stated liens are placed on all properties to recover costs.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing closed.

(1:52 – 1:55)

3-465

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 4633 Coran Lane. PROPERTY OWNER: U S NATIONAL BANK ASSN TRS. c/o OCWEN FED BANK FSB - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,587.05☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, Neighborhood Services hired ADS Consulting, Inc. to abate the problem by cleaning, boarding and securing the vacant house; removing all litter, trash, debris, high vegetation, miscellaneous items, and broken glass; and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,587.05 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 90 – 4633 Coran Lane

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired ADS Consulting, Inc. to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by boarding and securing the vacant house, removing all litter, trash, debris, high vegetation, miscellaneous items and broken glass. In addition, “No Trespassing” signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,587.05 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer’s Office.

The property owner was not present.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, commended MR. SEMENZA and Neighborhood Services for doing an outstanding job. He felt the fines assessed to the property owners should equal the extent of the property’s dilapidation. The revenue could be used to hire inspectors. There must be some recourse against the property owners.

TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, asked if the weeds had been removed from the property located on Garces between 1st Street and Casino Center. He noted he had previously reported the weed problem to the Council and MR. SEMENZA. MR. SEMENZA replied that a complaint had been opened and proper legal notification had begun.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing closed.

(1:55 – 1:58)

3-579

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of nuisance/litter located at 9999 Coran Lane, APN 139-19-701-001. PROPERTY OWNER: TRUSTEE CLARK COUNTY TREASURER - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,610.00☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, C & W Enterprises, Inc. was hired to abate the problem by removing all vagrant camps, high vegetation, litter, junk, refuse, and debris from the property and the perimeter areas; and by posting "No trespassing/Dumping" signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,610.00 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 91 – 9999 Coran Lane

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired C & W Enterprises, Inc. to abate the problem. The problem was abated by removing all vagrant camps, high vegetation, litter, junk, refuse and debris from the property and the perimeter areas. In addition, “No Trespassing/Dumping” signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,610.00 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer’s Office.

The property owner was not present.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked if the property was owned by Clark County and whether or not the County had a rapid response team. He concurred with MAYOR GOODMAN’S comments regarding banks and other entities being treated equal to individuals.

MR. SEMENZA stated that the property has been taken over by taxes. Further, the County has a code enforcement division but does not have a rapid response team. He emphasized that a lien would be placed on the property.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing closed.

(1:58 – 2:00)

3-679

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 6933 Manistee Court. PROPERTY OWNER: K S NEVADA, INC. - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,631.04☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, KO Construction, Inc. was hired to abate the problem by cleaning, boarding and securing the vacant house; removing all broken glass, trash, debris, miscellaneous items, high vegetation, and graffiti; repairing the iron fencing; securing the side gate; and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,631.04 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 92 – 6933 Manistee Court

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired KO Construction, Inc. to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by cleaning, boarding and securing the vacant house, removing all broken glass, trash, debris, miscellaneous items, high vegetation, and graffiti, repairing the iron fencing and securing the side gate. In addition, “No Trespassing” signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,631.04 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer’s Office.

The property owner was not present.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing closed.

(2:00 – 2:01)

3-741

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of nuisance/litter located at 1814 Fairfield Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: PARNESSE FAMILY TRUST, MYRON AND LINDA PARNESSE TRS. - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$631.35☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, KO Construction, Inc. was hired to abate the problem by removing all trash, debris, litter, and dead/dry vegetation from all yards; and by posting "No trespassing" signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$631.35 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Submitted at City Council – Photographs of unabated property submitted by David Semenza
9. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 93 – 1814 Fairfield Avenue

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired KO Construction, Inc. to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by removing all trash, debris, litter, and dead/dry vegetation from all yards. In addition, “No Trespassing” signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$631.35 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer’s Office.

The property owner was not present.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing closed.

(2:01 – 2:03)

3-790

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 2705 Castlewood Drive. PROPERTY OWNER: FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSN, c/o WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,474.35☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, Neighborhood Services hired KO Construction, Inc. to abate the problem by boarding and securing all doors, windows, and openings; repairing fencing; removing all trash, debris, refuse, waste, high vegetation, and outside storage; and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,474.35 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treasurer.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 94 – 2705 Castlewood Drive

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired KO Construction, Inc. to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by boarding and securing all doors, windows, and openings, repairing fencing and removing all trash, debris, refuse, waste, high vegetation and outside storage. In addition, “No Trespassing” signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,474.35 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer’s Office.

The property owner was not present.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing closed.

(2:03 – 2:05)

3-852

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of dangerous building and nuisance/litter located at 5909 Harmony Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: CITI FINANCIAL - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,014.35☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, KO Construction, Inc. was hired to abate the problem by boarding and securing all doors, windows, openings and the east side gate; removing all trash, debris and miscellaneous items from all yards; removing/cutting all dead/dry vegetation; and by posting "No trespassing" signs on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,014.35 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treasurer.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 95 – 5909 Harmony Avenue

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired KO Construction, Inc. to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by boarding and securing all doors, windows, openings and the east side gate; removing all trash, debris and miscellaneous items from all yards; and removing/cutting all dead/dry vegetation. In addition, “No Trespassing” signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,014.35 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer’s Office.

The property owner was not present.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, asked how much money the City loses each year due to failure to collect for these infractions and inquired into the law enforcement process. Apparently, the property owners ignore the notification letters. MR. SEMENZA indicated he did not have that dollar figure available. He pointed out that the mortgage company ends up as the owner because the property is abandoned and reverts back to the lender.

MAYOR GOODMAN explained that a lien is placed on the property in the amount of the assessment. When the property is ultimately sold, the City recoups its money plus interest. MR. McGOWAN asked if there is documentation and tracking of this process, to which MAYOR GOODMAN replied that there definitely is. MR. SEMENZA noted that this part of the process is handled by the City Finance Department. MR. McGOWAN suggested that some of the money could be used to assist Metro with other important services that require additional funding.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the public hearing closed.

(2:05 – 2:06)

3-902

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

EXTENSION OF TIME - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW

96 EOT-2493 - Sunridge Apartments, Limited Partnership

EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING

97 ABEYANCE ITEM - EOT-2408 - Dave Mason, et al

98 EOT-2504 - James E. Stroh, Architect, Inc. on behalf of Decatur III, Limited Liability Company

EXTENSION OF TIME RELATED TO EOT-2504 - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW

99 EOT-2502 - James E. Stroh, Architect, Inc. on behalf of Decatur III, Limited Liability Company

EXTENSION OF TIME - SPECIAL USE PERMIT

100 EOT-2529 - Gerald Garapich, AIA, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Grand Teton Lodge Land, Limited Liability Company

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

TENTATIVE MAP

101 TMP-2517 - Astoria Homes

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING

102 ROC-2649 - Sunridge Apartments, Limited Liability Company

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

103 SDR-2602 - City of Las Vegas

MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE LONE MOUNTAIN MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING

104 MOD-2533 - Blue Sage Properties, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Bureau of Land Management

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of August 20, 2003

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO MOD-2533 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 105 **SDR-2534** - Blue Sage Properties, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Bureau of Land Management

MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING

- 106 **MSP-2019** - Conquistador Plaza, Limited Liability Company
107 **MSP-2562** - Courtesy Mitsubishi on behalf of Joseph Scala
108 **MSP-2564** - Courtesy Mazda on behalf of Joseph Scala
109 **MSP-2565** - Vision Sign, Inc. on behalf of D 2801 Westwood, Inc.

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

- 110 **VAR-2501** - Sahara Belcastro Venture, Limited
111 **VAR-2538** - Show Media on behalf of Valley Bank Corporation

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO VAR-2538 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 112 **SUP-2537** - Show Media on behalf of Valley Bank Corporation

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 113 **SUP-2203** - Zygmunt Amaretti on behalf of Dennis B. Hancock
114 **SUP-2557** - Zaremba Group, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Foster-Day, Inc.

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-2557 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 115 **SDR-2558** - Zaremba Group, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Foster-Day, Inc.

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 116 **SUP-2329** - KSH Enterprises, Inc. on behalf of Becker Investments Company, Limited Partnership
117 **SUP-2530** - CCS Gunsmithing on behalf of Rainbow Sahara Center #2
118 **SUP-2566** - Francisco Bejar Neri
119 **SUP-2571** - Kona Grill, Inc. on behalf of Shops at Boca Park – Phase II, Limited Liability Company

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

- 120 **ABEYANCE ITEM - ZON-2457** - Donna F. Beam Revocable Trust

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-2457 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 121 **ABEYANCE ITEM - SDR-2458** - Donna F. Beam Revocable Trust

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of August 20, 2003

- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
122 **ZON-2603** - City of Las Vegas
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-2603 - PUBLIC HEARING
123 **SDR-2604** - City of Las Vegas
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
124 **ZON-2560** - Cherng Family Trust on behalf of Rebel Oil Company, Inc.
125 **ZON-2569** - Bramble Homes on behalf of Ella Mae Gordon Trust, et al
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-2569 - PUBLIC HEARING
126 **SDR-2568** - Bramble Homes on behalf of Ella Mae Gordon Trust, et al
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
127 **ZON-2607** - City of Las Vegas
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
128 **ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-1906** - Ronald N. Meyer
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-1906 - PUBLIC HEARING
129 **ABEYANCE ITEM - ZON-1907** - Ronald N. Meyer
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
130 **GPA-2497** - City of Las Vegas
131 **GPA-2528** - City of Las Vegas
132 **GPA-2549** - Shiron Corporation
133 **GPA-2550** - Shiron Corporation
134 **GPA-2551** - Shiron Corporation
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-2551 - PUBLIC HEARING
135 **ZON-2548** - Shiron Corporation

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Four

INDEX

City Council Meeting of August 20, 2003

136 VACATION RELATED TO GPA-2551 AND ZON-2548 - PUBLIC HEARING
VAC-2552 - Shiron Corporation

137 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
GPA-2559 - City of Las Vegas

138 REZONING RELATED TO GPA-2559 - PUBLIC HEARING
ZON-2600 - City of Las Vegas

139 SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-2559 AND ZON-2600 -
PUBLIC HEARING
SDR-2601 - City of Las Vegas

140 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
GPA-2570 - SF Investments on behalf of Mas Trading Company

141 REZONING RELATED TO GPA-2570 - PUBLIC HEARING
ZON-2573 - SF Investments on behalf of Mas Trading Company

142 VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-2570 AND ZON-2573 - PUBLIC HEARING
VAR-2578 - SF Investments on behalf of Mas Trading Company

143 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
GPA-2572 - Tom Fett

144 REZONING RELATED TO GPA-2572 - PUBLIC HEARING
ZON-2574 - Tom Fett

145 SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-2572 AND ZON-2574 -
PUBLIC HEARING
SDR-2577 - Tom Fett

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Five

INDEX

City Council Meeting of August 20, 2003

- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
146 **GPA-2575** - The CW Group on behalf of Centennial Family Partnership
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-2575 - PUBLIC HEARING
147 **ZON-2576** - The CW Group on behalf of Centennial Family Partnership
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
148 **GPA-2596** - Florence Enterprises, Limited Liability Company
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-2596 - PUBLIC HEARING
149 **ZON-2597** - Florence Enterprises, Limited Liability Company
- VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-2596 AND ZON-2597 - PUBLIC HEARING
150 **VAR-2599** - Florence Enterprises, Limited Liability Company
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-2596, ZON-2597 AND
VAR-2599 - PUBLIC HEARING
151 **SDR-2598** - Florence Enterprises, Limited Liability Company
- NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.***
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
152 **ZON-1962** - Clark County School District
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-1962 - PUBLIC
HEARING
153 **SDR-1964** - Clark County School District

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - **EOT-2493** - **SUNRIDGE APARTMENTS, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP** - Request for an Extension of Time of an approved Site Development Plan Review [Z-0110-97(1)] WHICH ALLOWED A PROPOSED 140-UNIT MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 9.35 acres adjacent to the south side of the Northern Beltway and approximately 825 feet west of North Decatur Boulevard (APN: 125-25-501-010), R-E (Residential Estates) under Resolution of Intent to R-PD15 (Residential Planned Development - 15 Units Per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0
3

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0
0

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – Motion carried with WEEKLY not voting

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD disclosed her relationship with Station Casinos as it related to Item 100, which involved a privileged license for alcohol. However, she stated that Item 100 would have no material impact on Station Casinos, so she would vote.

MINUTES:

The applicant was not present.

JEFFREY MANK, 6028 Shallow Springs, stated he resides in a subdivision that abuts the proposed apartments. The entire area is developed with single-family residential homes with values of \$160,000 and up. He expressed concern with apartments being constructed because they usually attract transients, lower income individuals, and drug-related problems. He felt the area did not need a high-density apartment complex in the middle of a nice residential community with a middle school nearby. This project is not compatible with the surrounding area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 96 – EOT-2493

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE asked ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, to explain the item and its purpose. MR. GENZER explained that the site development plan was previously approved with a two-year time limit to begin construction. The applicant did not begin construction and, therefore, an extension of time was required.

BILL CHILDS, Red Rock Engineering, 2001 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He explained that the project began with a different developer who submitted a site development plan. Since that time, another developer has acquired the property. The project is now through the engineering process and all of the plans have been approved. Financing is currently being finalized, and the project should begin construction within the next two months.

COUNCILMAN MACK explained that the Council previously approved the site development plan, and the zoning is in place. He noted that this project was approved over two years ago. The only matter being addressed today is an extension of time.

HARRIETT VARCHULIK, 5105 Autumn Meadow Avenue, stated she was told there would never be any type of strip mall or apartment complex adjacent to her property when she originally purchased her home in 1998. She indicated she recently refinanced her house, and the equity is very good. However, once the apartments are built she might as well put up a for sale sign. This project will directly affect her, and she would have appreciated some advance notification.

MAYOR GOODMAN advised that a rezoning was approved by the City Council on December 8, 1997 from Residence Estates to Residential Planned Development with 15 units per acre; and this, in effect, is an apartment use. Then, on June 6, 2001, the City approved the site development plan. He pointed out to MS. VARCHULIK that she may have been misled, because it is a matter of record that the property was rezoned for apartments prior to her purchasing her home. MAYOR GOODMAN further indicated that the Council is not to be faulted, because action was taken before she purchased her home. He suggested she might want to consult an attorney. MS. VARCHULIK stated that she might very well consult an attorney because Avante Homes lied to her.

GLENDA STOTTLEMYER, 6328 Monarch Creek Street, commented that a five-foot wide pedestrian crossing was being proposed between the apartment complex and the residential area. She suggested that a block wall be constructed instead because residents of the second level of the apartment complex will be able to look into her backyard. MR. CHILDS responded that he believed MS. STOTTLEMYER was referring to the access easement, which is Item 102 on the agenda, and indicated he had a proposal to address this issue.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 96 – EOT-2493

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK further explained that there was a proposal to take access into MS. STOTTLEMYER'S neighborhood. However, he believed that the current proposal is to keep the alignment outside of the neighborhood so that the school children would not be cutting through it on their way to and from school. MS. STOTTLEMYER expressed her concern with regard to her privacy and the possibility of some type of screening, such as trees, to block the view from the apartment complex to her home.

MR. CHILDS indicated that this issue was addressed in the site plan review, and all City setback requirements have been met. He believed the distance from the closest building to the property line was 60 feet with landscaping and parking in between the residential development and the apartment buildings.

MR. MANK inquired as to whether or not the City places any restrictions on this type of development as far as income levels. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that the City does not impose any conditions based on income.

COUNCILMAN MACK pointed out that this development was not proposed as low income housing; it is based on market rates. From what he has seen of the site plan, this will be a very nice apartment complex for the community.

There was no further discussion.

(2:06 – 2:18)

3-994

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Extension of Time shall expire on June 6, 2005.
2. Conformance to the conditions of approval for Rezoning (Z-0110-97), Site Development Plan Review [Z-0110-97(1)] and all site-related actions, as required by the Planning and Development Department and Department of Public Works.3. If this extension is not exercised, the related Rezoning case (Z-0110-97) shall require an Extension of Time review.
4. Conformance to Code standards pertaining to residential adjacency standards must be demonstrated prior to issuance of any building permits on this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING - **EOT-2408 - DAVE MASON, ET AL** - Request for an Extension of Time of an approved Rezoning (Z-0013-01) FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: P-R (Professional Office and Parking) on 2.85 adjacent to the east side of Rancho Drive, approximately 300 feet south of Charleston Boulevard (APN: 162-04-101-021), PROPOSED USE: 30,058 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE COMPLEX, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Item 97 [EOT-2408], Item 98 [EOT-2504], Item 99 [EOT-2502] and Item 100 [EOT-2529] subject to conditions – **UNANIMOUS**

MINUTES:

The applicant was not present.

There was no discussion.

(2:06 – 2:18)

3-994

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Extension of Time of an approved Site Development Plan Review shall expire on 06/20/05 unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Compliance with all Conditions of Approval of Rezoning [Z-0013-01].

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING - EOT-2504 - JAMES E. STROH, ARCHITECT, INC. ON BEHALF OF DECATUR III LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for an Extension of Time of an approved Rezoning (Z-0018-01) FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) on 3.6 acres adjacent to the south side of the northern Beltway alignment, approximately 630 feet west of North Decatur Boulevard (a portion of APN: 125-25-601-022), PROPOSED USE: COMMERCIAL CENTER, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Item 97 [EOT-2408], Item 98 [EOT-2504], Item 99 [EOT-2502] and Item 100 [EOT-2529] subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicant was not present.

There was no discussion.

(2:06 – 2:18)
3-994

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Extension of Time shall expire on July 5, 2005.
2. Conformance to the conditions of approval for Rezoning (Z-0018-01) and all other site-related actions, as required by the Planning and Development Department and Department of Public Works.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME RELATED TO EOT-2504 - SITE DEVELOPMENT - **EOT-2502 - JAMES E. STROH, ARCHITECT, INC. ON BEHALF OF DECATUR III, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for an Extension of Time of an approved Site Development Plan Review [(Z-0018-01(1), Z-0109-97(1), Z-0110-97(2)] WHICH ALLOWED A PROPOSED 262,640 SQUARE FOOT RETAIL COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT on 27.6 acres on the south side of the northern Beltway alignment, west of Decatur Boulevard (APN: 125-25-601-022 and 023), R-E (Residential Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Item 97 [EOT-2408], Item 98 [EOT-2504], Item 99 [EOT-2502] and Item 100 [EOT-2529] subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicant was not present.

There was no discussion.

(2:06 – 2:18)

3-994

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Extension of Time shall expire on July 5, 2005.
2. Conformance to all applicable conditions of approval for Rezoning (Z-0109-97) and (Z-0018-01), Site Development Plan Review [Z-0018-01(1), Z-109-97(1), Z-0110-97(2)] and all other site-related actions, as required by the Planning and Development Department and Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 99 – EOT-2502

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. If this is Extension not exercised the related Rezoning case (Z-0109-97) shall require an Extension of Time Review.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - EOT-2529 - GERALD GARAPICH, AIA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF GRAND TETON LODGE LAND, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for an Extension of Time of an approved Special Use Permit (U-0011-00) WHICH ALLOWED A PROPOSED TAVERN IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN APPROVED RESTAURANT (THE LODGE) adjacent to the northeast corner of Grand Teton Drive and Durango Drive (a portion of APN: 125-09-401-006), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Item 97 [EOT-2408], Item 98 [EOT-2504], Item 99 [EOT-2502] and Item 100 [EOT-2529] subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD disclosed her relationship with Station Casinos as it related to Item 100, which involved a privileged license for alcohol. However, she stated that Item 100 would have no material impact on Station Casinos, so she would vote.

MINUTES:

The applicant was not present.

There was no discussion.

(2:06 – 2:18)

3-994

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 100 – EOT-2529

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Extension of Time shall expire on June 21, 2004.
2. Compliance with all Conditions of Approval of the original Special Use Permit (U-0011-00).

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

TENTATIVE MAP - TMP-2517 - ASTORIA @ TOWN CENTER SOUTH - ASTORIA HOMES - Request for a Tentative Map and a Waiver to the private drive termination requirements of the Subdivision Ordinance Title 18.12.130 FOR A 490-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 42.61 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Fort Apache Road and Farm Road (APN: 125-17-301-001), T-C (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY and BROWN not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner and Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He concurred with staff conditions and requested approval. He commented that the requested waiver is administrative only. The tentative map conforms precisely to the site plan previously approved with the other land use applications for this site.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated if this is a gated community he is opposed to gated communities in Town Center.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 101 – TMP-2517

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:18 – 2:19)

3-1360

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of the Tentative Map shall be for no more than two (2) years. If a Final Map is not recorded on all or a portion of the area embraced by the Tentative Map within two (2) years of the approval of the Tentative Map, a new Tentative Map must be filed.
2. All development shall conform to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review (SDR-1769) and the Town Center Development Standards as applicable.
3. All perimeter walls, including a combination of retaining and screen walls, shall not exceed eight feet in height, measured from the base of the retaining wall, without appropriate stepbacks or an acceptable alternative as approved by the Planning and Development and Public Works Department.
4. Street names must be provided in accordance with the City's Street Naming Regulations.
5. All development is subject to the conditions of City Departments and State Subdivision Statutes.
6. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
7. All "eight-packs" fronting along private drives and "six-packs" that are back to back with no common access shall be sprinkled as required by the Department of Fire Services or an alternate solution that is acceptable by the Department of Fire Services.

Public Works

8. The approval of all Public Works related improvements shown on this Tentative Map is in concept only. Specific design and construction details relating to size, type and/or alignment of public improvements, including but not limited to street, sewer and drainage improvements, shall be resolved prior to approval of the construction plans by the City. No deviations from adopted City Standards shall be allowed unless specific written approval for such is received from the City Engineer prior to the recordation of a Final Map or the approval of subdivision-related construction plans, whichever may occur first.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **ROC-2649 - SUNRIDGE APARTMENTS LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Review of Condition No. 8 of an approved Site Development Plan Review [Z-0110-97(1)], which required a five-foot wide offsite pedestrian access route to be constructed in conjunction with development of a 140-unit apartment development on 9.35 acres adjacent to the south side of the Northern Beltway, approximately 600 feet west of Decatur Boulevard (APN: 125-25-501-010), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD15 (Residential Planned Development - 15 Units Per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

2

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Frances E. DiGioia-McClain and Steven G. McClain

MOTION:

MACK – ABEYANCE TO 9/17/2003 – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BILL CHILDS, Red Rock Engineering, 2001 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He explained that, in 1997, a five-foot easement was included in this project for access to the school. Subsequently, two subdivisions have been constructed nearby. The wall on one side is approximately 11 feet high, and the other wall is 6 feet high. In the curbed area, there is basically a blind spot where a portion of the alley cannot be seen from either the apartment complex or the road. In his opinion, this creates a safety hazard for the school children. In addition, two major storm drainage areas exist across the proposed access. These would be very difficult to bridge and would not be handicap accessible.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 102 – ROC-2649

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. CHILDS indicated he met with COUNCILMAN MACK and CAROL BAILEY, Site Development Planner for the Clark County School District, Facilities Division concerning these issues. MR. CHILDS suggested an alternate route to the sidewalk system in the housing subdivisions through an existing drainage easement approximately 20 feet wide by 100 feet long. He indicated MS. BAILEY is in agreement with the alternate route and that handicap access could be provided. This is a much safer route for the children. MR. CHILDS requested that the Council follow staff recommendations for review of this condition.

HARRIETT VARCHULIK, 5105 Autumn Meadow Avenue, stated the wash could be seen from her kitchen window and meant the children would be crossing her property on the side of the house on their way to the middle school. This was unacceptable.

COUNCILMAN MACK remarked he had a problem with this request. He thought the issue had been resolved. He did not believe it was fair to re-route the children into the surrounding neighborhood. He suggested this item be held in abeyance to the September 17 Council meeting to provide the applicant an opportunity to meet with the neighbors. He could not accept this condition or support this application.

MR. CHILDS stated that he understood COUNCILMAN MACK'S concern. However, he has a concern regarding the safety of the children in reaching the school. In response to COUNCILMAN REESE'S inquiry, MR. CHILDS clarified the existing and proposed easement routes. COUNCILMAN MACK explained that the original route is actually a trail that is also used for storm drainage, and he would like this route to remain unchanged. MR. CHILDS agreed to an abeyance of this item to September 17.

GLENDA STOTTLEMYER, 6328 Monarch Creek Street, requested that the block wall be raised so that children could not walk on or jump over it. MAYOR GOODMAN suggested that MS. STOTTLEMYER attend the neighborhood meeting. Then, if she is not satisfied she can re-appear before the City Council on September 17.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, wondered why the applicant had not already met with the neighbors.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, commented that raising the block wall might not be a good idea. If there is a Neighborhood Watch Program, it is preferable that the children be seen and monitored.

On advice of the City Attorney, COUNCILMAN MACK stated that the Council is unable to look beyond the scope of the review of this condition, which is the trail. The Council will not consider the issue of raising the wall because the site plan has been approved.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 102 – ROC-2649

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:19 – 2:27)

3-1428

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2602 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED PUBLIC PARK on 22.5 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Bradley Road and Whispering Sands Drive (a portion of APN: 125-13-501-004), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-V (Civic), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY and MONCRIEF not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Public Works Department, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He pointed out that this particular park is different in that the City is entering into agreements with private developers. These developers will construct the bottom southeast portion of the park, and the City will construct the remainder. The City will then take over the park and maintain it as a City park. He concurred with staff conditions and requested approval.

COUNCILMAN MACK expressed his appreciation to KB Homes for working with staff and to MR. ROARK for his efforts. He advised that KB Homes agreed to work with the City regarding the construction of a different amenity in place of the skateboard area.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 103 – SDR-2602

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:27 – 2:30)

3-1740

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Multi-Use (Non-Equestrian) trail shall be constructed along the south side of Grand Teton Drive in accordance with the Transportation Trails element of the General Plan. A Multi-Use Equestrian trail shall be constructed along the east side of Bradley Road in accordance with the Recreation Trails element of the General Plan
2. Landscape islands shall be provided in the parking areas in accordance with the requirements of Title 19.
3. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
4. Trash enclosures shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. Trash enclosures shall include a roof.
5. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
6. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on any buildings. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
7. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, except as amended by conditions herein.
8. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
9. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 103 – SDR-2602

MINUTES – Continued:

Public Works

10. Construct adjacent offsite improvements concurrent with onsite development on Bradley Road, Whispering Sands Drive, and Grand Teton Drive.
11. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sanitary sewer in Grand Teton Drive to the west edge of this site at a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits as required by the Department of Public Works. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
12. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE LONE MOUNTAIN MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **MOD-2533 - BLUE SAGE PROPERTIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT** - Request for a Major Modification to the Lone Mountain Master Plan to change the land use Designation FROM: Planned Community Development TO: Multi-Family Medium on 11.06 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Alexander Road and Hualapai Way (APN: 137-12-501-009 and a portion of 138-07-103-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to PD (Planned Development), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

45
3

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 52
5. Submitted at City Council – Protest letter from John and Cathy Sloan
6. Submitted at City Council – Protest letter from Beth and Timothy Spreitzer

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner and Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He commented that this project has been recommended for approval by both the staff and the Planning Commission. He pointed out that this property will be developed by the same developer of the project immediately adjacent to the west and will be very similar in design. He noted that, throughout the process, COUNCILMAN BROWN expressed concern regarding the apartment use and asked if the applicant would consider condominium units for this site. This would be consistent with the adjacent property to the west, and applicant has agreed to construct condominium units instead of apartments. However, the applicant requests that the Site Development Review be held indefinitely. Since

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 104 – MOD-2533

MINUTES – Continued:

the site plan will change, the applicant will require sufficient time for renotification and to submit a new plan, if necessary.

MAYOR GOODMAN announced that his office received two letters, one from JOHN and CATHY SLOAN and one from BETH and TIMOTHY SPREITZER. Both letters were dated August 18, 2003 and expressed opposition to the construction of apartments on the subject property.

BETH SPREITZER, 10416 Smokemont Court, indicated the project will be located next to 374 condominiums, plus another 84 condominium units have been approved nearby. This corner will become too densely populated which will cause traffic problems, especially on Alexander and Hualapai Roads. She felt apartments were not appropriate for the area and requested that the Council deny this request.

ANNE FEATHERSTONE, 4233 Robins Ridge Drive, indicated her agreement with the previous speaker, BETH SPREITZER.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, confirmed that the trails were okay. He was of the opinion that this site will be a good location for high density housing because there will be a lot of open space in the area and around the detention basin.

In response to MS. SPREITZER'S comments, COUNCILMAN BROWN pointed out that the Alexander and Hualapai alignment would be configured as a signalized "T" intersection. Also, it is anticipated it will be a main entrance into the public facility across the street. He explained that a major flood control project is planned in conjunction with the roadway project to capture water and move it down Alexander. He did not feel traffic would be an issue.

COUNCILMAN BROWN further explained that the reason Alexander would not be constructed as a traditional intersection with Hualapai was that the foot of Lone Mountain itself was in the right of way, and it was cost prohibitive to scrape down the mountain. Plus, many people felt the mountain should be kept intact.

With regard to the density issue, COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that the Shadow Hills Master Planned Community is within approved density requirements. He stated there are no problems with apartments when they are master planned into a community as opposed to being clustered. This development is of quality construction, with good price points, and is a complement to the neighborhood.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, questioned whether the Council would send the site development plan back to the Planning Commission in the future if it changed significantly in redesign or would bypass the Commission and have it come directly to the Council. If the item were tabled, it could be handled in either manner.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 104 – MOD-2533

MINUTES – Continued:

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT confirmed that the site development plan could be handled either way if tabled.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:30 – 2:40)
3-1856

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Site Development Plan Review (SDR-2534) shall be approved by the City Council at a Public Hearing.
2. Conformance to the Lone Mountain Master Plan, except as amended by this request.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO MOD-2533 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2534 - BLUE SAGE PROPERTIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 192-UNIT APARTMENT COMPLEX AND A REDUCTION IN THE AMOUNT OF PARKING LOT LANDSCAPING on 11.06 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Alexander Road and Hualapai Way (APN: 137-12-501-009 and a portion of 138-07-103-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to PD (Planned Development), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

45**3****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0**0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 53
5. Submitted at City Council – Protest letter from John and Cathy Sloan, under Item 104
6. Submitted at City Council – Protest letter from Beth and Timothy Spreitzer, under Item 104

MOTION:**BROWN – TABLED – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner and Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 105 – MOD-2533

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed that the revised site development plan be brought directly before the City Council as a public hearing, with the applicant responsible for notification.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 104 [MOD-2533] and Item 105 [SDR-2534] was held under Item 104 [MOD-2533].

(2:30 – 2:40)

3-1856

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **MSP-2019 - CONQUISTADOR PLAZA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Master Sign Plan FOR CONQUISTADOR PLAZA adjacent to the northwest corner of Cheyenne Avenue, and Metro Academy Way (APN: 138-07-411-002, 003, 005 and 006), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to PD (Planned Development), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JESSICA STEWART, 1010 Stephanie Way, appeared on behalf of the applicant. She advised that the sign complies with all Building Department codes and setbacks and concurred with staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:40 – 2:41)

3-2289

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 106 – MSP-2019

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Monument Sign shall be limited to a maximum height of 12 feet and six feet eight inches wide.
2. All signage shall have proper permits obtained through the Building and Safety Department.
3. The Monument sign shall be setback a minimum of five feet from any public right-of-way. In addition, the sign shall be set back from any driveway or street intersection so as not to create a sight restriction.
4. Illumination of the monument sign shall comply with Title 19.14.070 Residential Protection Standards.

Public Works

5. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Site Development Plan Review Z-95-98(5) and all other subsequent site-related actions.
6. Signs shall not be located within public right-of-way, existing or proposed public sewer or drainage easements, or interfere with Site Visibility Restriction Zones.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **MSP-2562 - COURTESY MITSUBISHI ON BEHALF OF JOSEPH SCALA** - Request for a Master Sign Plan FOR AN EXISTING AUTO DEALERSHIP (COURTESY MITSUBISHI) at 6900 West Sahara Avenue (APN: 163-03-806-006 and 163-03-806-009), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he had a previous business relationship with JOSEPH SCALA; however, that relationship no longer exists, and he will be voting on Item 107 and Item 108.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DARRYL SHOCK, Vision Sign Company, 3625 South Polaris, appeared on behalf of the applicant and requested that the Council follow Planning Commission and staff recommendations for approval.

JUANITA CLARK, on behalf of Charleston Neighborhood Preservation, inquired as to the size of the sign. MR. SHOCK replied that the overall height of the sign is 50 feet. He explained that there is an existing 47-foot tall sign on the property that will be removed; two 25-foot tall Suzuki signs have already been removed; and a 36-foot tall sign on Rainbow Boulevard will also be removed. So, basically, four signs are being removed, and one sign is being erected. The planned 50-foot sign will take the place of the 47-foot sign.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 107 – MSP-2562

MINUTES – Continued:

MS. CLARK asked if this application was a variance from the Master Sign Plan. MR. SHOCK replied it was not a variance and that a 40-foot tall freestanding sign is allowed by Code. The existing 47-foot sign was approved under a variance approximately seven years ago prior to the creation of the Master Sign Plan.

MS. CLARK indicated that the Charleston Neighborhood Preservation opposed a sign in excess of the 40-foot height allowed per code. Her organization wished to voice the same protest for Item 111, which was held in abeyance to September 17, 2003.

STUART NIEMS, 2220 Montessori, did not understand why the sign could not be kept at the approved 47-foot height. MR. SHOCK demonstrated that the decorative peak of the sign constituted the 50-foot height. The sign is actually 45 feet tall.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, inquired as to whether or not the sign included plasma technology and would be more aesthetically pleasing than the original staff-approved recommendation. MR. SHOCK indicated that the message unit consisted of LED's, light emitting diodes, with low energy seven-watt bulbs. He further explained that one uniform freestanding sign was replacing several flag-mounted cantilever signs. Therefore, he believed the new sign would be more aesthetically pleasing.

In closing, MR. SHOCK explained that a portion of the property did not belong to MR. SCALA. However, the intent is for that land to be deeded back to him. This matter was discussed at the Planning Commission meeting, and a condition was agreed upon whereby the sign would be placed on that land if it were deeded back to MR. SCALA. If not, the sign would be located in an alternate landscaped area, which he pointed out on the display. MAYOR GOODMAN confirmed with staff that this condition would be acceptable.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:41 – 2:48)

3-2336

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. All signage shall have proper permits obtained through the Building and Safety Department.
2. The existing 36 foot freestanding sign on Rainbow Boulevard shall be removed within 60 days of permit issuance for a new freestanding sign on this site.
3. The freestanding signs shall be setback a minimum of five feet from all property lines.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 107 – MSP-2562

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. Conformance to the sign and building elevations as submitted, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. Address numbers shall be provided as required by the Planning and Development Department.
6. Signs shall not be located within existing or proposed public rights-of-way, public sewer or drainage easements, or interfere with Site Visibility Restriction Zones.
7. The electronic message unit may not change copy/text more frequently than once each six seconds.

Public Works

8. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-39-94, and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **MSP-2564 - COURTESY MAZDA ON BEHALF OF JOSEPH SCALA** - Request for a Master Sign Plan FOR AN EXISTING AUTO DEALERSHIP (COURTESY MAZDA) at 5800 West Sahara Avenue (APN: 163-01-402-010 and 011), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he had a previous business relationship with JOSEPH SCALA; however, that relationship no longer exists and he will be voting on Item 107 and Item 108.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DARRYL SHOCK, Vision Sign Company, 3625 South Polaris, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff conditions. He explained that their intent is to return to uniformity and keep this site similar to the Courtesy Mitsubishi property at 6900 West Sahara Avenue.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:48 – 2:49)

3-2660

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 108– MSP-2564

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. All signage shall have proper permits obtained through the Building and Safety Department.
2. Conformance to the sign and building elevations as submitted, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. Address numbers shall be provided as required by the Planning and Development Department.
4. No temporary signage shall be allowed on the site without the approval of a Temporary Sign Permit from the Planning and Development Department.
5. The freestanding signs shall be setback a minimum of five feet from all property lines.
6. The electronic message unit may not change copy/text more frequently than once each six seconds.
7. No additional monument/freestanding signs are permitted on this property.
8. Any future redesign of the existing freestanding signs shall include consistency in the materials, proportions and colors of all the signs to provide a unified appearance for all of the signage.

Public Works

9. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-12-89, and all other subsequent site-related actions.
10. Signs shall not be located within existing or proposed public sewer or drainage easements, or interfere with Site Visibility Restriction Zones.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **MSP-2565 - VISION SIGN, INC. ON BEHALF OF D 2801 WESTWOOD, INC.** - Request for a Master Sign Plan FOR AN APPROVED SEXUALLY ORIENTED BUSINESS (TREASURES GENTLEMENS CLUB) adjacent to the northwest corner of Westwood Drive and Red Oak Avenue (APN: 162-08-604-001 and 162-09-102-004), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining due to his son, Ross, being retained by Treasures Gentlemen’s Club and MACK abstaining due to Treasures Gentlemen’s Club being a client of MK², with which he is affiliated.

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD disclosed that this item is within the notification area of Palace Station. However, there will be no material impact on Palace Station in that there is no gaming at Treasures Gentlemen’s Club, so she will vote on this item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and expressed appreciation for the recommendations for approval given by staff and the Planning Commission.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 109 – MSP-2565

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:49 – 2:51)

3-2718

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. All signage shall have proper permits obtained through the Building and Safety Department.
2. The Freestanding Sign shall be limited to a maximum height of 28 feet and setback a minimum of five feet from all property lines.
3. The raceway lighting shall not flash.
4. No additional freestanding or monument signs will be permitted along Westwood Drive.
5. Additional wall signs will require an amendment to this Master Sign Plan.
6. Conformance to the sign and building elevations as submitted, except as amended by conditions herein.
7. No temporary signage shall be allowed on the site without the approval of a Temporary Sign Permit from the Planning and Development Department.
8. Address numbers shall be provided as required by the Planning and Development Department.
9. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for the related rezoning for Zoning Reclassification Z-62-60, and all other subsequent site-related actions.
10. Signs shall not be located within existing or proposed public sewer or drainage easements, or interfere with Site Visibility Restriction Zones.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-2501 - SAHARA BELCASTRO VENTURE, LIMITED** - Appeal filed by Patti & Sgro from the Denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Variance TO ALLOW A FIFTYFOUR FOOT SEVEN-INCH (54'7") FREESTANDING SIGN WHERE 40 FEET IS THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT PERMITTED at 7150 West Sahara Avenue (APN: 163-03-806-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [GC (General Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****2****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter submitted by Patti & Sgro
5. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 61
6. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Christopher J. and Kimberly A. Phillips

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – DENIED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining due to a business relationship with David Chesnoff who is currently in discussions with various sign companies concerning a project.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DUANE NOBLES appeared on behalf of the applicant. He outlined the justifications for this request by stating that the palm trees on site obstruct the view of the sign. He believed the trees were owned by the City of Las Vegas, and permission could not be obtained to either trim or cut them down due to the City's Beautification Plan. Further, raising the sign would decrease the risk of accidents and make motorists aware of the dealership's location. The dealership would be at a competitive disadvantage if the view of the sign were blocked. Under Title 19, the applicant believed there would be no substantial detriment to the public good nor would raising the sign create any substantial impairment of the natural resources.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 110 – VAR-2501

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK requested clarification regarding the trees currently blocking the view of the sign allegedly belonging to the City. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, indicated that the trees are not City-owned but are on private property and were planted by the dealership.

STUART NIEMS, 2220 Montessori, indicated he represented the neighborhood behind the dealerships on Bel Castro, Rosanna, and Montessori. He advised that the neighbors are opposed to the sign being raised and did not feel the added height would increase the dealership's business.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, asked if there was a valid reason for the 33-1/3% excessive increase in the height of the sign and if there were alternative forms of marketing and advertising being utilized by this business.

In response to MR. McGOWAN'S questions, COUNCILMAN REESE indicated that MR. NOBLES stated there were trees blocking the view of the sign. It was the applicant's understanding that the trees were City-owned and could not be trimmed or removed. Therefore, the applicant was requesting that the sign be raised an additional 15 feet. MR. NOBLES remarked that the applicant believed there were no other alternatives. However, other alternatives could be considered.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN requested that he be excused as he was scheduled for a helicopter tour of the City with the Sheriff to assess the storm damage.

(2:51 – 2:57)

3-2816

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to the sign elevations and site plan as submitted.
2. All signage shall have proper permits obtained through the Building and Safety Department.
3. All temporary signage (pennants, banners or flags) shall be removed as required by Conditions of approval of the approved Rezoning (Z-0011-99) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0011-99(2)].

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - VAR-2538 - SHOW MEDIA ON BEHALF OF VALLEY BANK CORPORATION - Appeal filed by Show Media from the Denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Variance TO ALLOW A 50-FOOT TALL, 14 FOOT BY 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN WHERE 40 FEET IS THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT PERMITTED at 3500 West Sahara Avenue (APN: 162-05-402-007), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

3
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter submitted by Show Media
5. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 64
6. Submitted after final agenda – Letter requesting abeyance submitted by Show Media

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 120 [ZON-2457] and Item 121 [SDR-2458] to 9/3/2003, Item 111 [VAR-2538] and Item 112 [SUP-2537] to 9/17/2003, TABLE Item 130 [GPA-2497] and Item 140 [GPA-2570], and Accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 141 [ZON-2578] and Item 142 [VAR-2578] – UNANIMOUS with BROWN not voting

MINUTES:

The following discussion took place under Item 107 [MSP-2562].

JUANITA CLARK, Charleston Neighborhood Preservation, indicated that the Charleston Neighborhood Preservation, wished to voice the same protest for this item as expressed on Item 107 [MSP-2562].

There was no further discussion.

(1:37 – 1:47)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO VAR-2538 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-2537 - SHOW MEDIA ON BEHALF OF VALLEY BANK CORPORATION** - Appeal filed by Show Media from the Denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 50-FOOT TALL, 14-FOOT BY 48-FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 3500 West Sahara Avenue (APN: 162-05-402-007), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter submitted by Show Media, under Item #111
5. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 65
6. Submitted after final agenda – Letter requesting abeyance submitted by Show Media, under Item 111

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 120 [ZON-2457] and Item 121 [SDR-2458] to 9/3/2003, Item 111 [VAR-2538] and Item 112 [SUP-2537] to 9/17/2003, TABLE Item 130 [GPA-2497] and Item 140 [GPA-2570], and Accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 141 [ZON-2578] and Item 142 [VAR-2578] – UNANIMOUS with BROWN not voting

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:37 – 1:47)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2203 - ZYGMUNT AMARETTI ON BEHALF OF DENNIS B. HANCOCK, JR. - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR AN AUTO REPAIR GARAGE, MAJOR (A-1 BRAKE & CARBURETOR SHOP) AND WAIVERS OF THE STANDARD CONDITIONS TO ALLOW REPAIR AND SERVICE WORK OUTSIDE OF A COMPLETELY ENCLOSED BUILDING, TO ALLOW OUTDOOR HOISTS, AND TO NOT SCREEN DISABLED OR WRECKED VEHICLES FROM SURROUNDING PROPERTIES AND ADJOINING STREETS at 2101 Fremont Street (APN: 139-35-804-004), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 15

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DENNIS HANCOCK, 7981 Cadenza Lane, the applicant, was present. He requested approval of the Special Use Permit in order to keep the outdoor hoists. He noted that the hoists were in place at the time he moved into the shop. In addition, a similar business across the street is also using outdoor hoists. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE confirmed MR. HANCOCK'S concurrence with staff conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, commented that this is one of the reasons why his area should be included in the City's redevelopment plan.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 113 – SUP-2203

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE indicated he has viewed this location several times and believed it would be very difficult for this type of business to operate in an enclosed area. He expressed his desire to work with MR. HANCOCK if any problems were to arise in the future. In addition, he requested that the applicant limit the number of cars on the property at one time.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:57 – 3:00)

3-3116

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. This Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in one (1) year by the Planning Commission and City Council. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review.
3. Add slats to the existing chain link fence along the west side of the property or provide some other acceptable screening material so that the disabled or wrecked vehicles are screened from the adjacent street. Screening must be in place within thirty days of City Council action.
4. Two outdoor hoists are permitted as depicted on the site plan. Outdoor repair and services are limited to work completed on the outdoor hoists.
5. All City Code requirements including 19.04.050 with a Waiver of Conditions #1 and 5 and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied, except as amended by this approval.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2557 - ZAREMBA GROUP, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF FOSTER-DAY, INC. - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR AN AUTO PAINT & BODY REPAIR SHOP adjacent to the west side of Rancho Drive, approximately 825 feet north of West Cheyenne Avenue (APN: 138-12-801-007), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

MATT CASEY, 14600 Detroit Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio, appeared on behalf of the applicant with FRANK BURKE, Sterling Auto Body, and concurred with staff conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked why the Special Use Permit is required at this particular location. It seems the entire area is one big auto row like Boulder Highway. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, replied that this business is a paint and body shop as opposed to an auto repair shop only. This distinction places the business in a category that requires a Special Use Permit.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated the City is trying not to create a Boulder Highway in the northwest on Rancho Drive. However, he believed this business would be a great addition to the Rancho Corridor. The building is state of the art, everything is enclosed, and it is environmentally friendly. He expressed appreciation to the applicant for working with staff regarding the outside aesthetics.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 114 – SUP-2557

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Additional discussion relating to Item 114 [SUP-2557] and Item 115 [SDR-2558] was held under Item 115 [SDR-2558]

(3:00 – 3:04)

3-3281

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. No used or discarded automotive parts or equipment shall be located or stored in any open area outside of an enclosed building.
2. All disabled or wrecked vehicles shall be stored in an area, which is screened from view from the surrounding properties and adjoining streets.
3. Openings in service bays shall not face public right-of-way and shall be designed to minimize visual intrusion into adjoining properties.
4. All repair work shall be performed within an enclosed building.
5. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review (SDR-2558).
6. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
7. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-2557 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2558 - ZAREMBA GROUP, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF FOSTER-DAY, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 11,598 SQUARE FOOT AUTO PAINT & BODY REPAIR SHOP, AND TO ALLOW A REDUCTION IN THE WIDTH OF THE PERIMETER LANDSCAPE PLANTER ALONG RANCHO DRIVE FROM A REQUIRED 15 FEET WIDE PLANTER TO 10 FEET on 2.06 acres adjacent to the west side of Rancho Drive, approximately 825 feet north of West Cheyenne Avenue (APN: 138-12-801-007), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and revising Condition 8 to read as follows:

- 8. *Elevations to be split face on bottom and textured stucco above, subject to approval by the Planning and Development Department staff.***

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

MATT CASEY, 14600 Detroit Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio, appeared on behalf of the applicant with FRANK BURKE, Sterling Auto Body.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated he would like Condition 8 deleted. He is in favor of revised elevations but with split face block on the bottom and the textured surface on the top portion of the building. MR. CASEY replied that the upper portion of the building would include a type of textured paint. The lower portion will remain tilt up but will be textured to look like a type of block. COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that the applicant could work out the details with staff.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 115 – SDR-2558

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Additional discussion relating to Item 114 [SUP-2557] and Item 115 [SDR-2558] was held under Item 114 [SUP-2557]

(3:00 – 3:04)

3-3281

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Approval of and conformance to the conditions of approval for Special Use Permit (SUP-2557) by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by the Planning and Development Department, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 20 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within the planter area outside of the Right-of-Way along Rancho Drive. Within the Right-of-Way, shrubs, accent bushes, and groundcover will be required per Title 19.12 and as agreeable by the Department of Public Works due to the location of a FEMA flood zone.
5. Revise the landscape planter along the western side of the property (rear property line) to depict a 15-foot minimum planter width with appropriate groundcover and 24-inch box trees spaced a maximum of 20-foot on center.
6. Revise the planter size in the rear parking lot island between the building and rear property line to depict a minimum 5-foot wide planter with tree spacing and groundcover in accordance with Title 19.12.040.
7. Revise the landscaping plan to depict four 24-inch box trees evenly spaced in the planter adjacent to the eastern elevation of the building.
8. The applicant shall work with the Planning and Development Department to develop revised elevations that provide additional façade articulation prior to the City Council meeting.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 115 – SDR-2558

MINUTES – Continued:

9. Trash enclosures shall have solid metal gates, a roof, and match the color and building finish of the main structure as required by Title 19.08.45.
10. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
11. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
12. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets and neighboring properties.
13. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
14. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
15. Any proposed property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated. Wrought iron fencing may also satisfy this condition.
16. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
17. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

18. Dedicate that portion of right-of-way adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number #138-12-801-006 necessary to complete a knuckle for the intersection of Maxine Place and Arlene Way prior to the issuance of any permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 115 – SDR-2558

MINUTES – Continued:

19. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Rancho Drive, the knuckle at the intersection of Maxine Place and Arlene Way and the alley terminus adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
20. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
21. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

22. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way, if any, on Rancho Drive adjacent to this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 115 – SDR-2558

MINUTES – Continued:

23. Submit an application for an Occupancy Permit from the Nevada Department of Transportation for all landscaping and private improvements in the Rancho Drive public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.
24. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer. This site is located in a FEMA Zone A Flood Zone.
25. Provide an emergency access only gate to the unnamed public alley south of this site and appropriate emergency access easements prior to the issuance of any permits.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2329 - KSH ENTERPRISES, INC. ON BEHALF OF BECKER INVESTMENTS COMPANY, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP - Appeal filed by Crosby & Nordstrom from the Denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE SALE OF PACKAGE LIQUOR FOR OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING CONVENIENCE STORE (ALLSTAR MINIMART) at 2339 North Jones Boulevard (APN: 138-24-101-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). **(NOTE: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session Item #58)** The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
4

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter submitted by Crosby & Nordstrom
5. Submitted at City Council – Support petition submitted by Dave Crosby containing 72 signatures
6. Submitted at City Council – City of Las Vegas Business License Master List submitted by Dave Crosby

MOTION:

WEEKLY – DENIED – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining due to his affiliation with MK², as Becker Gaming, a partner with Becker Investments Company, is a client and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE CROSBY, 711 South 8th Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant. GEORGE SHAWSHANI, KSH Enterprises, was also present. MR. CROSBY was of the opinion that the Planning Commission, in denying this application, was concerned with the saturation of this area with alcoholic beverage sales as well as the availability of package liquor in a convenience store. He explained that this location has no fuel pumps, and there are two gas stations with convenience stores located nearby that sell package beer and wine.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 116 – SUP-2329

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. CROSBY suggested the following restrictions to alleviate any concerns. The service will be limited to existing clientele; the applicant will not advertise the product; and limit the sale of package liquor between 10:00 a.m. and Midnight. The liquor will be located in a small space behind the counter only and not available in the aisles. The applicant will agree to a one-year restricted license. He noted that MR. SHAWSHANI has maintained an exemplary business to date. He also holds a license in California and has a stellar record there. MR. CROSBY felt that the conditions imposed by staff and the Planning Commission, as well as the self-imposed restrictions he outlined, would alleviate any concerns the Council might have.

MR. CROSBY further explained that all distance requirements have been met in that the business is the appropriate distance from all protected facilities. This license would not impact the health, safety and welfare of the community. This is not an area with a lot of street traffic or persons drinking at all hours of the night. In addition, there were no protests received following the mailing of 787 notices. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE clarified that the applicant is requesting a change of license from beer and wine only to package liquor; however, the package liquor license includes beer and wine. MR. CROSBY submitted a petition containing 72 signatures of existing patrons in support of this application in addition to a City of Las Vegas Business License Master List. He pointed out that the City currently has 19 convenience stores licensed to sell package liquor with two pending.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, clarified that the applicant did not imply he owned and operated 19 convenience stores. He further stated that a convenience store serves patrons of all ages and asked how the applicant would maintain reasonable control over the age of persons who patronize the store, for example at risk youth. MR. CROSBY replied that the law currently requires that no package product can be consumed within 1,000 feet of the premises. There is a sign posted to this effect. Another safeguard is to restrict the location of the package liquor to behind the counter.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE clarified that MR. McGOWAN referred to at risk youth and underage drinkers. He pointed out that there are laws in place to prohibit the sale of liquor to underage individuals. The Special Use Permit would be rescinded if alcohol were sold to such persons. MR. McGOWAN indicated there are no laws prohibiting groups of individuals from gathering at that particular location. Also, the applicant did not mention the possibility of hiring a security guard to patrol the property.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, inquired as to whether or not landscaping exists on this property because, from what he can see, it looks just like a parking lot. Some revenue should be used to enhance the appearance of the property. MR. CROSBY replied that the applicant has met all landscaping requirements.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 116 – SUP-2329

MINUTES – Continued:

DREXEL STEWARD, 2845 North Jones Boulevard, opposed the approval of the Special Use Permit.

GEORGE MUNS, 5916 Paseo Del Mar, opposed the sale of package liquor at this location because he felt there were enough stores in the area currently selling the same product.

KEVIN McCULLEN, 345 North Nellis Boulevard, #10, indicated that this store was previously a 7-Eleven with a gas station. If the City approves the permit for one store, it should approve permits for all. Some people might be concerned about the nearby middle school children asking adult store patrons to purchase liquor for them. He does not believe that hard liquor belongs in convenience stores.

JUANITA CLARK, Charleston Neighborhood Preservation, was of the opinion that it is time to stop permitting convenience stores to sell package liquor. This type of permit is an imposition to the people residing in the surrounding neighborhoods and a draw for undesirable individuals. She requested that the Council not compound an existing problem and deny this request.

In response to MR. FARLOW'S comments, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that the issue is not landscaping on the property. The issue concerns package liquor in a convenience store.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY pointed out that the Planning Commission was concerned with setting a precedent. Further, he did not recall any of the current Council members approving a package liquor license in a convenience store. He requested a copy of the petition submitted by MR. CROSBY in order to send letters to those constituents and invite them to neighborhood meetings to discuss issues affecting the neighborhood since they seem to be so quick to allow the sale of package liquor.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, clarified for the record that the staff report states approval of this application is contrary to the overall objectives of the General Plan, even though it indicates it will not compromise the public health, safety and welfare. He felt this was a significant statement on staff's part.

MR. CROSBY indicated that approving this application does not constitute setting a precedent. The Council needs to closely scrutinize each application and exercise their discretion. He felt the restrictions the applicant was willing to abide by were appropriate and re-emphasized the fact that package liquor would be sold only to existing clients, at their request.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked whether or not approval dates could be ascertained for the convenience store package liquor licenses listed on the document submitted by MR. CROSBY. JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, explained that those dates could be ascertained but it would take some time.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 116 – SUP-2329

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN recalled that, in approximately 1997, a direct public record was made with regard to discontinuing the policy of approving the sale of package liquor in convenience stores. He felt that many of the convenience stores listed on the document submitted by MR. CROSBY were approved for that use prior to 1997.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE stated for the record that he could not remember whether or not he voted on permits for any of the convenience stores listed. But, he would say that he and the Council have denied many more of these requests than they have approved. He does not feel a convenience store is the proper location for the sale of package liquor.

COUNCILMAN BROWN commented that approval of these types of permits opens the door for a precedent to be set. This site does not appear to be unique and barely meets the 400-foot distance requirement from protected uses. In terms of the precedent, MR. CROSBY recommended that the Council hold this item and review it further.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT stated for the record that this applicant is not entitled to a Special Use Permit for the sale of package liquor based on the fact that 19 other locations were granted permits; it is a privileged license.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY was of the opinion that the subject area is over saturated with this type of use and is not conducive to the sale of package liquor. He expressed his concern for the residents of the area and recommended that the applicant retain the beer and wine license. He concurred with staff's recommendation.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 116 [SUP-2329] and Item 58 [Reclassification From Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale License To Package License – KSH Enterprises, Inc. dba Allstar Minimart] was held under Item 116 [SUP-2329]

(3:04 – 3:30)

3-3524

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2530 - CCS GUNSMITHING ON BEHALF OF RAINBOW SAHARA CENTER #2 - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A SECONDHAND DEALER IN CONJUNCTION WITH A RETAIL GUN STORE at 2216 South Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 163-02-415-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (Moncrief). **(NOTE: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session Item #60)**
 The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
2

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 77

MOTION:

MONCRIEF – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting, MACK abstaining due to the fact that his brother, Steven Mack, owns the Super Pawn located on the same side of Rainbow Boulevard which provides the same service as the applicant and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS EIFELDT and SANDRA EIFELDT, CCS Guns, 2216 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant. MR. EIFELDT explained that he moved the location of his business due to the widening of US Highway 95 and wished to resume operation at the new location. MS. EIFELDT thanked the City Council and staff for the effort they put forth in assisting them in this transition.

TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, Ward 1, advised that the applicants are very active in the community and operate a very exemplary and responsible business. The cause of the relocation was external, and the City should extend every benefit and courtesy to the applicants.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 117 – SUP-2539

MINUTES – Continued:

JUANITA CLARK, Charleston Neighborhood Preservation, concurred with MR. McGOWAN'S comments. She praised the applicants for their commendable business practices and remarked that this business is an asset to the neighborhood. She supported approval of this application.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 117 [SUP-2530] and Item 60 [Change of Location for a Class II Secondhand Dealer License – CCS Guns, LLC dba CCS Guns] was held under Item 117 [SUP-2530]

(3:30 – 3:35)

4-788

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year after the approval, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. No outdoor display, sales or storage of any merchandise is permitted.
3. The use shall comply with the applicable requirements of Title 6 (Business Taxes, Licenses and Regulations) of the Las Vegas Municipal Code.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
5. Activities performed on-site as part of the business operation shall be limited to retail sales of new and used firearms, retail sales of sporting goods, and repairs and minor customization of firearms.
6. The applicant shall not engage in the fabrication, light manufacturing, and/or heavy manufacturing of firearms.

Public Works

7. Meet with the Industrial Waste Section of the Department of Public Works to obtain proper permitting for this business prior to the issuance of a business license.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2566 - FRANCISCO BEJAR NERI
 - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR AN EXISTING GUEST HOUSE/CASITA, A WAIVER TO ALLOW TWO ROOMS WHERE ONE ROOM IS PERMITTED, TO ALLOW A 7-FOOT SETBACK FROM THE MAIN DWELLING WHERE 10-FOOT IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED, AND TO ALLOW A 3-FOOT SIDE YARD SETBACK WHERE 5 FEET IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED at 1608 Becke Circle (APN: 162-02-218-001), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (with a tie vote) made NO RECOMMENDATION

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****2****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****8****City Council Meeting****1****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (with a tie vote) made NO RECOMMENDATION.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 78
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Boulder Dam Homesite Addition Association Residential Park Inc., and Political Action Committee

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions and adding the following condition:

- *The Guest House/Casita shall be used as storage only.*

– UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY and MONCRIEF not voting and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

FRANCISCO BEJAR NERI, 1608 Becke Circle, the applicant, was present. He advised that the casita was an existing structure when he purchased the house 18 months ago. The former residents used the casita as an office. Originally, he received a notice from the City advising him that a special use permit was required for the structure. Because he preferred to keep the structure on site, he attempted to obtain a permit for an office. However, the request was denied because of the R-1 residential zoning. MR. NERI then suggested the building be used as a shed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 118 – SUP-2566

MINUTES – Continued:

However, he was told that use was not possible but that he could apply for a special use permit for a guesthouse. He does not plan to rent the building as has been implied.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE asked staff how the City became aware of the illegal structure and whether or not the City had any idea how long the structure had been there. MARGO WHEELER, Deputy Director, Planning and Development, replied it was her belief that a complaint had been filed with Code Enforcement. In addition, since there is no record of permits, staff has no record as to how long the building has existed.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, indicated he had no objection to a one-room casita. However, this building could easily be converted to a rental without anyone's knowledge.

MICHAEL HOLLOWAY, 1505 Becke Circle, indicated he was a neighbor of the applicant and had protested this application during the Planning Commission process. This is an older, very well kept upscale neighborhood behind Gorman High School. When he bought his home in 2000, the subject building was already constructed and he thought it was a shed. It looks like a shed, not a casita. Also, no one knows if this structure was built to code. He would have no problem with the building remaining a storage shed. But, if it is converted to a casita, he is concerned that the applicant will use it as a multi-family rental unit. He wants his property values protected.

LEON WOLFENSTEIN, a friend of the applicant and his wife, indicated they have made many improvements to their house and have increased its value. He felt the applicant would be willing to keep the building as a one-room casita. Further, he was not aware of any opposition to this project from the neighbors.

KEVIN McCULLEN, 345 North Nellis Boulevard, #10, inquired into the setback distances required by Code. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE explained the building has been on the property for several years and that this request does not apply to the construction of a new building.

AL GALLEGGO, citizen of Las Vegas, stated that the structure in question is not a casita. It looks like a part of the house and does not look bad. He could demonstrate what a casita is in his neighborhood.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE verified with the applicant that he is willing to use the structure as a shed only. In addition, he requested that a Building Department official inspect the structure to ensure it was structurally sound. The applicant would not be required to pay any fines as a result of this inspection. However, as a condition of this application, if any of the neighbors file a complaint because the applicant is renting out this structure, the applicant would be cited and the building torn down. MR. NERI agreed and indicated he would work with staff.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 118 – SUP-2566

MINUTES – Continued:

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT noted that the applicant would be required to obtain all necessary building permits. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, advised that Condition 1 of this application addressed the permit issue, and no fines would be imposed during this process. MR. NERI agreed to obtain all necessary building permits.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:35 – 3:46)

4-961

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The applicant must obtain all necessary permits, including all building permits and inspections as required by the Department of Building and Safety, prior to occupancy.
2. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The Guest House/Casita shall conform to the submitted site plan and floor plan and all conditions of Title 19.04.040 with the exception of conditions 1c. and 4.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

5. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development to determine if the project lies within the Site Visibility Restriction Zone (S.V.R.Z.). If necessary, an S.V.R.Z. shall be created and maintained per current City of Las Vegas standards.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2571 - KONA GRILL, INC. ON BEHALF OF SHOPS AT BOCA PARK - PHASE II, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
- Request for a Special Use Permit for a SUPPER CLUB (KONA GRILL) at 750 South Rampart Boulevard, Suite #3 (a portion of APN: 138-32-312-005), PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 2 (McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY and MONCRIEF not voting and GOODMAN excused

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD disclosed that this application involves a privileged liquor license but will have no material impact on Station Casinos, so she will vote on this item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ISHAM ATOUT, Creative Design Architects, 2229 Maple Rose Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:46 – 3:47)

4-1336

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 119 – SUP-2571

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years of this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19.04.050 for a Supper Club use.
3. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
4. The business shall conform to the provisions of Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
5. The Supper Club use shall conform to the definition as listed in Title 19.20.020.
6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-2457 - DONNA F. BEAM REVOCABLE TRUST** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone on 3.88 acres adjacent to the northeast and southeast corners of Smoke Ranch Road and Buffalo Drive (APN: 138-15-402-001 and 138-22-101-001) Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

3
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Letter requesting abeyance from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 120 [ZON-2457] and Item 121 [SDR-2458] to 9/3/2003, Item 111 [VAR-2538] and Item 112 [SUP-2537] to 9/17/2003, TABLE Item 130 [GPA-2497] and Item 140 [GPA-2570], and Accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 141 [ZON-2578] and Item 142 [VAR-2578] – UNANIMOUS with BROWN not voting

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:35 – 1:38)

4-853

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-2457 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2458 - DONNA F. BEAM REVOCABLE TRUST** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR TWO PROPOSED COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS on 3.88 acres adjacent to the northeast and southeast corners of Smoke Ranch Road and Buffalo Drive (APN: 138-15-402-001 and 138-22-101-001) U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone], Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Letter requesting abeyance from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw, under Item 120

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 120 [ZON-2457] and Item 121 [SDR-2458] to 9/3/2003, Item 111 [VAR-2538] and Item 112 [SUP-2537] to 9/17/2003, TABLE Item 130 [GPA-2497] and Item 140 [GPA-2570], and Accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 141 [ZON-2578] and Item 142 [VAR-2578] – UNANIMOUS with BROWN not voting

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:35 – 1:38)

4-853

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-2603 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] TO: C-V (Civic) on 20 acres adjacent to the west and east sides of Cliff Shadows Parkway, approximately 650 feet south of Alexander Road (a portion of APN: 137-12-101-008), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Public Works Department, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff conditions relative to Item 122. He requested a 30-day abeyance for Item 123.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Additional discussion relating to Item 122 [ZON-2603] and Item 123 [SDR-2604] was held under Item 123 [SDR-2604]

(3:47 – 3:50)

4-1387

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 122 – ZON-2603

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

2. Dedicate or provide easement rights for Alexander Road adjacent to this site, including an offset cul-de-sac at the termination of Alexander Road.
3. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving, if legally able on Cliff Shadows Parkway and Alexander Road adjacent to this site, including the offset cul-de-sac concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
4. A Traffic Impact Analysis or other information acceptable to the Traffic Engineering Division must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings.
5. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-2603 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2604 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED PUBLIC PARK on 20 acres adjacent to the west and east sides of Cliff Shadows Parkway, approximately 650 feet south of Alexander Road (a portion of APN: 137-12-101-008), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: C-V (Civic)], Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – ABEYANCE TO 9/17/2003 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Public Works Department, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

COUNCILMAN BROWN requested that the City Attorney and MR. ROARK meet with the developer, Focus Commercial, and any other appropriate parties within the next 30 days. One of the issues requiring resolution prior to finalization of the site development plan was private participation in the funding of this park. During the last two years, the City has been approving development with conditions on a per acre basis as far as money being dedicated to the construction of this park. At this point in time, much of the funding had been arranged. However, there was no paper trail relating to equity and how monies would be channeled to either the City or the private developer. He requested a document addressing these issues be prepared prior to the September 17, 2003 Council meeting.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 123 – SDR-2604

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Additional discussion relating to Item 122 [ZON-2603] and Item 123 [SDR-2604] was held under Item 122 [ZON-2603]

(3:47 – 3:50)

4-1387

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - ZON-2560 - CHERNG FAMILY TRUST ON BEHALF OF REBEL OIL COMPANY, INC. - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-1 (Single Family Residential) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) on .95 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of North Lamb Boulevard and East Bonanza Road, (APN: 140-29-401-003), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition 1 to read as follows:

1. A Site Development Plan Review shall be approved *by the City Council* prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
- UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ED ROBLEE appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with Planning Commission and staff recommendations.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, indicated he was glad that this corner was being developed. He hoped the applicant would build the same palace type of structure they are building on the other side of town.

AL GALLEGOS, citizen of Las Vegas, indicated that Rebel Oil had a project approved on Rancho and Bonanza a couple of years ago. However, that site is still a field. He did not feel Rebel Oil should be allowed to build a store at this location until the other site was developed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 124 – ZON-2560

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE explained that only the zone change was before the Council at this time. He advised that Rebel Oil Company was selling this piece of property to the applicant who planned to construct a Panda Express and a couple of other shops on this corner. He confirmed with the applicant that the site development plan would be submitted to the City Council at a later date.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:50 – 3:54)

4-1514

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Site Development Plan Review shall be approved prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.

Public Works

3. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Any new or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
4. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Bonanza Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.
5. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
6. Provide a copy of a recorded Joint Access and Parking Agreement between this site and the adjoining parcel to the east prior to the issuance of any permits.
7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 124 – ZON-2560

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

8. Meet with the Flood Control Section of Public Works to determine appropriate elevations and drainage flow paths prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - ZON-2569 - BRAMBLE HOMES ON BEHALF OF ELLA MAE GORDON TRUST, ET AL - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre) on 7.09 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of North Jones Boulevard and West Washburn Avenue (APN: 125-35-702-001 and 002), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 55

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and adding the following condition:

- *Density shall be limited to 2.82 dwelling units per acre.*

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

PATRICK WARD, Bramble Development, 2590 Lindell Road, and JEFF RICE, Wright Engineers, 819 Spikenard, Henderson, appeared on behalf of the applicant. MR. RICE requested clarification of the site development review Conditions 8 and 9. He asked if those conditions applied to the south property line wall facing vacant land. COUNCILMAN MACK replied that they did.

MR. RICE proposed that Condition 9 be revised to allow a four-foot retaining wall with a four-foot screen to comply with the eight-foot height limitation. When the wall exceeded eight feet, a wrought iron view fence could be placed on top of the wall to substitute for the six-foot screen wall on the interior of the property.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 125 – ZON-2569

MINUTES – Continued:

MARGO WHEELER, Deputy Director, Planning and Development, indicated that this type of arrangement has been made in some cases. Recently, however, staff has changed direction and recommends that the maximum height be set at eight feet for perimeter walls abutting the street, with appropriate step backs, whether or not there is a portion of the wall that is wrought iron.

MR. RICE asked if Condition 9 would apply to the non-street facing south property line that faced vacant land. COUNCILMAN MACK indicated he did not have a problem waiving the condition for the non-street facing property line. MS. WHEELER verified that this condition could be amended to apply to the street facing walls only. The applicant concurred with the amended conditions for both the rezoning and the site development plan review applications.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 125 [ZON-2569] and Item 126 [SDR-2568] was held under Item 125 [ZON-2569].

(3:54 – 4:00)

4-1662

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application (SDR-2568) approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Bronco Road, where such does not exist and a 15 foot radius on the southeast corner of Bronco Road and Washburn Road prior to the issuance of any permits.
4. Construct half-street improvements including overpaving if legally able on Bronco Street and construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Washburn Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 125 – ZON-2569

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

6. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-2569 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2568 - BRAMBLE HOMES ON BEHALF OF ELLA MAE GORDON TRUST, ET AL** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 20-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 7.09 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of North Jones Boulevard and West Washburn Avenue (APN: 125-35-702-001 and 002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development – 3 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 56

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions, deleting Condition 12 and amending Condition 9 to read as follows:

9. All *street facing* perimeter walls, including a combination of retaining and screen walls, shall not exceed eight feet in height, measured from the base of the retaining wall, without appropriate step backs.

– UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

PATRICK WARD, Bramble Development, 2590 Lindell Road, and JEFF RICE, Wright Engineers, 819 Spikenard, Henderson, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 126 – SDR-2568

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 125 [ZON-2569] and Item 126 [SDR-2568] was held under Item 125 [ZON-2569].

(3:54 – 4:00)

4-1662

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning (ZON-2569) to an R-PD3 Zoning District approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. Building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 20 feet to the front of the house and garage as measured from back of sidewalk or from back of curb if no sidewalk is provided, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 20 feet in the rear.
6. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
7. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
8. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
9. All perimeter walls, including a combination of retaining and screen walls, shall not exceed eight feet in height, measured from the base of the retaining wall, without appropriate step backs.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 126 – SDR-2568

CONDITIONS – Continued:

12. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services prior to submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. The Design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

14. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access and on site circulation prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated entries shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A. We note that the proposed gated drive accessing Washburn Road does not appear to comply with this requirement; if gating is proposed it shall be redesigned prior to approval of the Tentative Map.
15. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services prior to submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services.
16. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
17. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
18. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification ZON-2569 and all other subsequent site-related actions.
19. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 126 – SDR-2568

CONDITIONS – Continued:

20. The approval of all Public Works related improvements shown on this Site Development Plan Review is in concept only. Specific design and construction details relating to size, type and/or alignment of public improvements, including but not limited to street, sewer and drainage improvements, shall be resolved prior to submittal of a Tentative Map or construction drawings, whichever may occur first. No deviations from adopted City Standards shall be allowed unless specific written approval for such is received from the City Engineer prior to the submittal of a Tentative Map or construction drawings, whichever may occur first.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-2607 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [PR-OS (Park/Recreation/Open Space) General Plan Designation] TO: C-V (Civic) on 20 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Hualapai Way and Gilcrease Avenue (a portion of APN: 125-18-201-010), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
 City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
 City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and adding an additional condition to be inserted as Condition 2 to read as follows:

2. *The Rezoning of this site to CV (Civic) shall be restricted to the westernmost 10 acres of this site.*

– UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Public Works Department, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff conditions. He requested that the application be amended to rezone 10 acres instead of 20 acres. The City has decided not to develop the ten acres on the east side of the property as a park but will put it up for auction in November. The ten acres to the west, on Hualapai, will be developed per written agreement with Stanpark Homes. In addition to developing the ten acres, Stanpark will construct all of the off-site improvements. He requested approval of the application.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that staff wished to add a condition to this application. BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department, read added Condition 2 into the record. COUNCILMAN MACK verified with MR. ROARK that the added condition was acceptable to staff.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 127 – ZON-2607

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:00 – 4:02)

4-1885

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council including a 30 foot wide multi-use trail on the east side of Hualapai Way prior to the issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

2. Dedicate or provide easement rights of 50 feet for Hualapai Way, 30 feet for Gilcrease Avenue, and 30 feet, including area for a knuckle, for Donald Nelson Avenue adjacent to this site.
3. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving, if legally able on all adjacent streets adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
4. If not already constructed, coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sanitary sewer in Gilcrease Avenue to the west edge of this site at a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits as required by the Department of Public Works.
5. A Traffic Impact Analysis or other information acceptable to the Traffic Engineering Division must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings.
6. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-1906 - RONALD N. MEYER** - Request to Amend a portion of the Southeast Sector Plan of the General Plan FROM: L (Low Density Residential) TO: O (Office) on 0.16 acres at 10 Sacramento Drive (APN: 140-31-817-033), Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

RONALD MEYER, the applicant, was present and indicated he had met all requirements as requested by staff.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE explained that the subject property is located on the corner of Sacramento and Charleston. This area has been zoned residential for many years; however, it is not conducive to residential use. In his opinion, this was a case where the City needed to assist the property owner in appropriately developing the property. To this effort, he met with some of the neighbors and it was decided that an office project would be appropriate for the site. He hoped the applicant would construct an attractive, quality project.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 128 – GPA-1906

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 128 [GPA-1906] and Item 129 [ZON-1907] was held under Item 128 [GPA-1906]

(4:02 – 4:04)

4-1977

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING RELATED TO GPA-1906 - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-1907 - RONALD N. MEYER** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-1 (Single Family Residential) TO: P-R (Professional Office and Parking) on 0.16 acres at 10 Sacramento Drive (APN: 140-31-817-033), PROPOSED USE: OFFICE, Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

RONALD MEYER, the applicant, was present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 128 [GPA-1906] and Item 129 [ZON-1907] was held under Item 128 [GPA-1906]

(4:02 – 4:04)

4-1977

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 129 – ZON-1907

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A General Plan Amendment (GPA-1906) to an O (Office) land use designation approved by the City Council.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council at a public hearing prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
4. The applicant shall ensure that the site plan does not allow direct access to Sacramento Street.

Public Works

5. Dedicate an additional 10 feet of right-of-way for a total radius of 25 feet on the northeast corner of Charleston Boulevard and Sacramento Street prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. Coordinate with the Right of Way Section of the Department of Public Works for assistance in preparing the appropriate documents.
6. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
7. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
8. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 129 – ZON-1907

CONDITIONS -- Continued:

Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-2497 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to amend the City of Las Vegas Downtown Development Plan Map (Map 9) of the Las Vegas Redevelopment Plan to consolidate all categories and to make certain changes especially from Industrial to Commercial and from Industrial to Mixed Use for properties bounded by Charleston Boulevard to the north, Third Street to the east, Commerce Street to the west and Colorado Street to the south, Wards 1 (Moncrief), 3 (Reese) and 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 120 [ZON-2457] and Item 121 [SDR-2458] to 9/3/2003, Item 111 [VAR-2538] and Item 112 [SUP-2537] to 9/17/2003, TABLE Item 130 [GPA-2497] and Item 140 [GPA-2570], and Accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 141 [ZON-2578] and Item 142 [VAR-2578] – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:37 – 1:47)

98AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-2528 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to amend portions of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan Map FROM: SC (Service Commercial) TO: GC (General Commercial) on the northwest corner of Jones Boulevard and Rancho Drive (APN: 138-02-803-001, 138-11-502-003, and 138-12-110-049), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-1 vote on a motion for approval) failed to reach a super majority, which results in a motion for DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-1 vote on a motion for approval) failed to reach a super majority, which results in a motion for DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, advised the subject area was part of the Rancho Corridor Study being conducted by the Planning Department.

COUNCILMAN MACK expressed his appreciation to staff for their efforts in the Rancho Corridor clean up.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:04 – 4:06)

4-2094

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-2549 - SHIRON CORPORATION** - Request to amend a portion of Map 3 of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: R (Rural Density Residential) TO: TC (Town Center) on 0.94 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Monte Cristo Way and West Centennial Parkway (APN: 125-22-407-008), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CINDY GEE, The Keith Companies, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, confirmed the location of the trail with staff.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 136 [VAC-2552] for additional discussion.

(4:06 – 4:12)

4-2170

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-2550 - SHIRON CORPORATION** - Request to amend the Master Plan Transportation Trails Element Map No. 6 TO RELOCATE A MULTI-USE TRAIL FROM MONTE CRISTO WAY TO PIONEER WAY, BETWEEN DARLING ROAD AND WEST CENTENNIAL PARKWAY, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CINDY GEE, The Keith Companies, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 136 [VAC-2552] for additional discussion.

(4:06 – 4:12)

4-2170

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - GPA-2551 - SHIRON CORPORATION - Request to amend a portion of Map 4 of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: Undesignated Clark County TO: SX-TC (Suburban Mixed-Use Town Center) on 0.94 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Monte Cristo Way and West Centennial Parkway (APN: 125-22-407-008), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MAC K – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CINDY GEE, The Keith Companies, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 136 [VAC-2552] for additional discussion.

(4:06 – 4:12)

4-2170

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-2551 - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-2548 - SHIRON CORPORATION** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: T-C (Town Center) on 0.94 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Monte Cristo Way and West Centennial Parkway (APN: 125-22-407-008), [PROPOSED: SX-TC (Suburban Mixed Use-Town Center) General Plan Designation], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CINDY GEE, The Keith Companies, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 136 [VAC-2552] for additional discussion.

(4:06 – 4:12)

4-2170

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 135 – ZON-2548

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

2. Construct half-street improvements on Monte Cristo Way adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site unless a Petition of Vacation, such as VAC-2552, to vacate Monte Cristo Way records prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits.
3. This site shall participate in the Special Improvement District 1476 - Towncenter Phase II NEQ for the construction of Centennial Parkway prior to the recordation a map subdividing this site or the issuance of any permits for this site.
4. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sanitary sewer in Centennial Parkway to the west edge of this site at a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits as required by the Department of Public Works. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
5. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 135 – ZON-2548

CONDITIONS -- Continued:

Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, or compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION RELATED TO GPA-2551 AND ZON-2548 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-2552**
 - **SHIRON CORPORATION** - Request for a Petition to Vacate a portion of Monte Cristo Way generally located between Darling Road and Centennial Parkway, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition 1 to read as follows:

1. This Vacation Application shall be modified to retain an appropriate knuckle radius at the southeast corner of Darling Road and Monte Cristo Way. In addition, dedicate or obtain dedication of any additional area needed to complete a standard knuckle per Clark County Area Standard Drawing #211. Construction drawings for this intersection shall show improvement of the knuckle *unless a deviation from standards is approved by the City Engineer.*

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department, advised that Condition 1 required a knuckle per Clark County area standards. The condition should be revised because a deviation was, in fact, approved. However, the condition as currently written did not acknowledge that. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE confirmed that the revised condition was acceptable to the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 136 – VAC-2552

MINUTES -- Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:06 – 4:12)

4-2170

CONDITIONS:

1. This Vacation Application shall be modified to retain an appropriate knuckle radius at the southeast corner of Darling Road and Monte Cristo Way. In addition, dedicate or obtain dedication of any additional area needed to complete a standard knuckle per Clark County Area Standard Drawing #211. Construction drawings for this intersection shall show improvement of the knuckle.
2. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study.
3. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
4. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required
5. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
6. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all conditions have been met provided, however, that conditions requiring modification of public improvements may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 136 – VAC-2552

CONDITIONS -- Continued:

7. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council and the Planning and Development Director does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-2559 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) TO: PF (Public Facility) adjacent to the northwest corner of Bradley Road and Racel Street, (a portion of APN: 125-12-301-002), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that the City worked with KB Home on this project to develop a park site. This particular site will be equestrian in nature and is part of an agreement to provide the required open space. All conditions have been worked out with KB Home.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:12 – 4:13)

4-2407

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-2559 - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-2600 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: C-V (Civic) on 25 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Bradley Road and Racel Street, (a portion of APN: 125-12-301-002), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

COUNCILMAN MACK rescinded his comments for Item 137 and clarified that this particular site was equestrian. The site in the previous application was more urban in nature.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 138 [ZON-2600] and Item 139 [SDR-2601] was held under Item 138 [ZON-2600]

(4:13 – 4:15)

4-2486

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 138 – ZON-2600

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A General Plan Amendment (GPA-2559) to a PF (Public Facility) land use designation approved by the City Council.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate or provide easement rights of 40 feet for Horse Drive and 30 feet for Bradley Road adjacent to this site.
4. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on Horse Drive and Bradley Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
5. A Traffic Impact Analysis or other acceptable information must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings.
6. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other acceptable information must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-2559 AND ZON-2600 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2601 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED PUBLIC PARK on 25 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Bradley Road and Racel Street (a portion of APN: 125-12-301-002), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: C-V (Civic)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 138 [ZON-2600] and Item 139 [SDR-2601] was held under Item 138 [ZON-2600]

(1:35 – 1:38)

4-853

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 139 – SDR-2601

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning (ZON-2600) to a C-V (Civic) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
2. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
3. Landscape islands shall be in accordance with the requirements of Title 19.
4. Landscape buffers shall be provided along the west and south property lines with trees spaced at a minimum of every 30 feet and a minimum of four-five gallon shrubs planted per tree.
5. Handicapped parking spaces shall be provided in the parking area in accordance with code requirements.
6. A Multi-Use trail shall be constructed along the west side of Bradley Road in accordance with the Transportation Trails Element of the General Plan.
7. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
8. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on any buildings. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
10. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, except as amended by conditions herein.
11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 139 – SDR-2601

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

12. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
13. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification ZON-2600 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - GPA-2570 - SF INVESTMENTS ON BEHALF OF MAS TRADING COMPANY - Request to amend a portion of the Southwest Sector of the General Plan FROM: R (Rural Density Residential) TO: L (Low Density Residential) on 10 acres located adjacent to the north and south sides of Peak Drive, approximately 630 feet east of Torrey Pines Drive (APN: 138-14-601-029, 138-14-601-030, 138-14-602-021, 138-14-701-001 and 002), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

113
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 34
5. Submitted after final agenda – Letter requesting the item be tabled from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw
6. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from David & Jeannine Barneby

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 120 [ZON-2457] and Item 121 [SDR-2458] to 9/3/2003, Item 111 [VAR-2538] and Item 112 [SUP-2537] to 9/17/2003, TABLE Item 130 [GPA-2497] and Item 140 [GPA-2570], and Accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 141 [ZON-2578] and Item 142 [VAR-2578] – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:37 – 1:47)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-2570 - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-2573 - SF INVESTMENTS ON BEHALF OF MAS TRADING COMPANY** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre) on 10.0 acres adjacent to the north and south sides of Peak Drive, approximately 630 feet east of Torrey Pines Drive (APN: 138-14-601-029, 138-14-601-030, 138-14-602-021, 138-14-701-001 and 002), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

111
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 35
5. Submitted after final agenda – Letter requesting withdrawal without prejudice from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw
6. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from David & Jeannine Barneby, under Item 140

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 120 [ZON-2457] and Item 121 [SDR-2458] to 9/3/2003, Item 111 [VAR-2538] and Item 112 [SUP-2537] to 9/17/2003, TABLE Item 130 [GPA-2497] and Item 140 [GPA-2570], and Accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 141 [ZON-2578] and Item 142 [VAR-2578] – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:37 – 1:47)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-2570 AND ZON-2573 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-2578**
- SF INVESTMENTS IN BEHALF OF MAS TRADING COMPANY - Request for a
 Variance TO ALLOW ZERO SQUARE FEET OF OPEN SPACE WHERE 33,062 SQUARE
 FEET IS REQUIRED adjacent to the north and south sides of Peak Drive, approximately 630
 feet east of Torrey Pines Drive (APN: 138-14-601-029, 138-14-601-030, 138-14-602-021, 138-
 14-701-001 and 002), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: R-PD5 (Residential Planned
 Development - 5 Units Per Acre)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and
 staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

111

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 36
5. Submitted after final agenda – Letter requesting withdrawal without prejudice from Kummer
Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw, under Item 141
6. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from David & Jeannine Barneby, under Item 140

MOTION:

**REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 120 [ZON-2457] and Item 121 [SDR-
 2458] to 9/3/2003, Item 111 [VAR-2538] and Item 112 [SUP-2537] to 9/17/2003, TABLE
 Item 130 [GPA-2497] and Item 140 [GPA-2570], and Accept the WITHDRAWAL
 WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 141 [ZON-2578] and Item 142 [VAR-2578] –
 UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:35 – 1:38)

4-853

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-2572 - TOM FETT** - Request to amend a portion of the Southwest Sector of the General Plan FROM: R (Rural Density Residential) TO: ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) on 1.08 acres adjacent to the north side of Smoke Ranch Road approximately 470-feet east of North Michael Way (APN: 138-13-801-068), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

3
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 38

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SAM MARUSICH, 6362 McLeod, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, wished to verify that the opening in the center of the property was to be used for access by the abutting neighbor. MR. MARUSICH indicated the adjacent property owner had an easement. BART ANDERSON, Public Works Department advised that this easement was included in the existing conditions, and the proposed change improved on it.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 143 – GPA-2572

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 143 [GPA-2572], Item 144 [ZON-2574] and Item 145 [SDR-2577] was held under Item 143 [GPA-2572]

(4:15 – 4:18)

4-2575

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-2572 - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-2574 - TOM FETT** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD6 (Residential Planned Development - 6 Units Per Acre) on 1.08 acres adjacent to the north side of Smoke Ranch Road approximately 470 feet east of North Michael Way (APN: 138-13-801-068), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

3
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 39

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SAM MARUSICH, 6362 McLeod, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 143 [GPA-2572], Item 144 [ZON-2574] and Item 145 [SDR-2577] was held under Item 143 [GPA-2572]

(4:15 – 4:18)

4-2575

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 144 – ZON-2574

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of a General Plan Amendment to ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) (GPA-2572) by the City Council.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to the issuance of any permits or development activity on the site.

Public Works

4. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing offsite improvements damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
5. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 144 – ZON-2574

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

6. Meet with the Flood Control Section of Public Works to determine appropriate elevations and drainage flow paths prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
7. Provide a copy of a recorded Access Easement/Agreement between this site and the adjoining parcel to the northeast prior to the issuance of any permits.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-2572 AND ZON-2574 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2577 - TOM FETT** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 6-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AND FOR A WAIVER TO THE DENSITY REQUIREMENTS WITHIN A RURAL PRESERVATION NEIGHBORHOOD BUFFER on 1.08 acres adjacent to the north side of Smoke Ranch Road approximately 470 feet east of North Michael Way (APN: 138-13-801-068), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: R-PD6 (Residential Planned Development – 6 Units Per Acre)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

3

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 40

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SAM MARUSICH, 6362 McLeod, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 143 [GPA-2572], Item 144 [ZON-2574] and Item 145 [SDR-2577] was held under Item 143 [GPA-2572]

(4:15 – 4:18)

4-2575

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 145 – SDR-2577

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of a General Plan Amendment to ML (Medium-Low Density) (GPA-2572) and a Rezoning to R-PD6 (ZON-2574) by the City Council.
2. Lot 7, identified as “future use to be determined” shall be subject to site development plan review prior to the issuance of permits for that portion of the property.
3. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 20 feet to the front of the garage and 15 feet to the front of the house as measured from the back of the sidewalk or from back of curb if no sidewalk is provided, 5 feet on the side, and 10 feet in the rear.
6. Houses will be limited to two-stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
7. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect a minimum of three 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 30 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within the planter along Smoke Ranch Road.
8. Any proposed property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Perimeter wall heights shall be limited to an overall height of 8 feet including a combination retaining/screen wall without appropriate setbacks.
9. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
10. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 145 – SDR-2577

CONDITIONS – Continued:

12. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services prior to submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. The Design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

14. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access and on site circulation prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first.
15. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
16. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
17. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification ZON-2577 and all other subsequent site-related actions.
18. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.
19. The approval of all Public Works related improvements shown on this Site Development Plan Review is in concept only. Specific design and construction details relating to size, type and/or alignment of public improvements, including but not limited to street, sewer and drainage improvements, shall be resolved prior to submittal of a Tentative Map or construction drawings, whichever may occur first. No deviations from adopted City Standards shall be allowed unless specific written approval for such is received from the City Engineer prior to the submittal of a Tentative Map or construction drawings, whichever may occur first.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-2575 - THE CW GROUP ON BEHALF OF CENTENNIAL FAMILY PARTNERSHIP** - Request to amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) TO: O (Office) on 2.0 acres adjacent to the west side of Fort Apache Road, approximately 430-feet north of West Cheyenne Avenue (APN: 138-07-801-010), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with MONCRIEF not voting and GOODMAN excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed for the record that Timbers Bar and Grill, owned by his brother-in-law, Andrew Donner, is within the notification area. However, this request will not impact Timbers Bar and Grill, and he has not been approached regarding this application. Therefore, he will vote on Item 146 and Item 147.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JIM CHILDS, CW Group, 4010 Ali Baba Lane, Suite B, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He explained that this site is the northerly portion of the undeveloped property at the northwest corner of Cheyenne and Fort Apache. The General Plan amendment is being requested to allow for construction of a single-story office building. He indicated that a very productive meeting was held in June with the surrounding property owners, and those attending were very supportive of this project. He believed this site could be developed in a manner that was friendly and sensitive to the adjacent residential neighbors. He concurred with staff conditions and respectfully requested approval.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 146 – GPA-2575

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, was of the opinion that a General Plan amendment required 100% approval of the abutting neighbors since it affected their pocketbooks. He claimed that the neighbors do not support this project 100%.

STEPHEN “CAPTAIN TRUTH” DEMPSEY requested a logical explanation as to the reasons for the recommendations of denial and why the Planning Commission and staff were being disregarded, since the Council was considering approval. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE responded that back up included with the Council agenda outlined the reasons for the denial recommendations. Further, nothing had been said thus far regarding approval of this project. MR. DEMPSEY indicated the citizens of the community would like further explanation.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, to please explain the reasons staff recommended denial of this application. MR. GENZER indicated that staff had viewed this property in association with the property immediately to the south, which was not part of this request. The concern was that the parcel to the south would be isolated and, therefore, would become inappropriate for its current medium low land use designation. It would be more appropriate if the two properties were combined into one application for an office project. Staff would then have a better understanding as to the development and design of the property, and this would result in a better project. Staff is not opposed to office zoning, just the exclusion of the southern parcel from the project. Staff is also of the opinion that the design of this project could be improved upon.

COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated staff and the Council have been working with the owners of this infill piece of property close to three years in an attempt to find residential developers who would build on it. However, until now, attempts have been unsuccessful. The applicant met with the immediate neighbors, and they are in support of this project. He indicated he understood and respected staff’s position. However, development of the first segment of this property provided the opportunity to set a precedent to mandate a like product on the larger, second portion of the parcel. He would support this application for those reasons. COUNCILMAN BROWN announced that a neighborhood meeting was scheduled for Tuesday, August 26, with a larger number of neighbors to determine their tolerance level with regard to the southern portion of this site.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 146 – GPA-2575

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Additional discussion relating to Item 146 [GPA-2575] and Item 147 [ZON-2576] was held under Item 147 [ZON-2576]

(4:18 – 4:28)

4-2735

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-2575 - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-2576 - THE CW GROUP ON BEHALF OF CENTENNIAL FAMILY PARTNERSHIP** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: O (Office) [PROPOSED [O (Office) General Plan Designation] on 2.0 acres adjacent to the west side of Fort Apache Road, approximately 430-feet north of West Cheyenne Avenue (APN: 138-07-801-010), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MONCRIEF not voting and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JIM CHILDS, CW Group, 4010 Ali Baba Lane, Suite B, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, pointed out that a site plan was not included in the agenda, but there was a condition requiring the site plan to come before the Council as a public hearing. Staff requested that the City's Urban Design Coordinator work with the applicant in order to enhance the design of this project.

COUNCILMAN BROWN confirmed with MR. CHILDS that the applicant would be willing to meet with the City's Urban Design Coordinator. In addition, he invited the Urban Design Coordinator, Planning Department staff, and the applicant to the neighborhood meeting on August 26.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 147 – ZON-2576

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Additional discussion relating to Item 146 [GPA-2575] and Item 147 [ZON-2576] was held under Item 146 [GPA-2575]

(4:28 – 5:02)

4-3262

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A General Plan Amendment (GPA-2575) to an O (Office) land use designation approved by the City Council.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. The applicant shall submit a Site Development Plan Review application that shall be heard as a public hearing by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. Dedicate 50 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Fort Apache Road prior to the issuance of any permits.
5. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate transitional paving (if legally able) on Fort Apache Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
6. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sanitary sewer to the south edge of this site at a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 147 – ZON-2576

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-2596 - FLORENCE ENTERPRISES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request to amend a portion of the Southwest Sector of the General Plan FROM: SC (Service Commercial) and ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) TO: MLA (Medium-Low Attached Density Residential) on 4.83 acres adjacent to the west side of North Jones Boulevard, approximately 620 feet north of West Lake Mead Boulevard (APN: 138-23-601-007), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

7
105

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 43
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Kevin D. Mills, 2 pages
6. Submitted at City Council – Opposition petition representing 96 properties

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and GOODMAN excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that a SuperPawn, owned by his brother, Steven Mack, was located near this site. However, he has not spoken to his brother regarding this application, and it will have no impact on SuperPawn. Therefore, he will vote on Items 148, 149, 150 and 151.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SHARON BULLOCK, Community Development Program Center of Nevada, 2009 Alta Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 148 – GPA-2596

MINUTES – Continued:

JEANNIE GIBBS, 2201 Latitudes Court, was of the opinion that this project is too dense for this area. The property is currently zoned low to medium density, which allows 5.5 to 8.5 houses per acre. Currently, her neighborhood is fairly quiet. This type of project will place far too much pressure on this area. In addition, access is from the southbound lanes of Jones Boulevard only, which will cause additional traffic congestion. Also, open space is insufficient for the neighborhood. The neighbors would prefer another type of development more compatible to the surrounding area. She is opposed to this project.

JIM McCARTY, 2225 La Sombra Street, concurred with his neighbors. He believes his neighborhood is not a good location for this project. There are already too many apartment complexes in the area. He would like to see a more compatible project built.

TIM KEENAN, 6153 Caprino Avenue, submitted a petition signed by his neighbors in opposition to this project. He felt this parcel was not large enough for a project of this density and the neighborhood would be overwhelmed.

KEVIN MILLS, 6228 Eugene Avenue, was opposed to the high density of this project. Plus, there is only one entrance into the proposed development, which will negatively impact access by emergency vehicles. In addition, a section of property approximately 60 feet by 300 to 400 feet on Eugene Avenue will not be developed. If there is to be any development at all, the project should include this small parcel rather than leaving it vacant.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, inquired as to whether or not Nevada had laws pertaining to land sales contracts, specifically renting property with the option to buy. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT indicated it would depend upon the project. MR. FARLOW indicated he has a problem with this project because the developer will not lock down the purchase prices. At the end of 15 years, arbitrary selling prices will be established for purchase of the homes. The renters are not protected.

ROSE ANDERSON, 6140 Eugene Avenue, indicated her main complaint was that this variance would open the door for developers to ask the City Council to again reduce the size of building lots. Also, this site is located on a corner containing a school crossing. Drivers will be making U-turns at the school crossing in order to enter the property. There is no traffic signal at this corner, and the school children will not be safe.

MICHAEL ZICOLILLO, 2213 Latitudes Court, expressed his desire to see another type of project built on this site. A fire station was recently constructed at Smoke Ranch and Torrey Pines and, possibly, a law enforcement station could be constructed. A density of 58 lots in this development is too high.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 148 – GPA-2596

MINUTES – Continued:

ILONE FULD, 5916 Eugene Avenue, indicated that this project would make it impossible for her to exit her street after 2:00 p.m. due to the increased traffic congestion. In addition, the neighborhood is already dealing with school children crossing their property and destroying the yards. This development will cause the situation to worsen.

In response to the neighbors' concerns, MS. BULLOCK indicated that the project would be fully enclosed with one entrance and one exit. In addition, a park will be provided in the center of the development with a basketball court, barbeque area, and a kiddy pool with spray fountains. There will also be open space around the entire exterior perimeter of the property.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, inquired as to the principal owners of Florence Enterprises and the location of the corporate headquarters. CLIFF SINGLETON, 8630 West Nevso Drive, indicated he represented the property owner and stated the principal headquarters are located in Champagne, Illinois. MR. McGOWAN stated the record should reflect that the names of the principals are not indicated.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated he has worked with the applicant, and this is a difficult parcel because it is an infill piece of property. The density to the north of the subject property is slightly over nine units per acre and conforms to the requested MLA designation. Apartments are located across the street, on Jones, which is a very busy street. And, to the west is Service Commercial. Even though he is concerned about infill parcels, and it is difficult for the residents to accept, different components should be built in every residential community. He believes this project will work well in this neighborhood.

COUNCILMAN MACK requested clarification of the rent with option to buy component of this project. MS. BULLOCK indicated that the homes are leased for 15 years. After 15 years, the residents are guaranteed financing and a portion of the rent paid will apply toward the down payment. She further stated that the selling price of each home would be based on appraised value at the end of 15 years. If a resident sells a home prior to expiration of the 15-year period, the new homeowner will assume the equity.

COUNCILMAN MACK requested that the applicant work with the neighboring community. MS. BULLOCK agreed and noted that neighborhood meetings were previously held with very low attendance.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 148 [GPA-2596], Item 149 [ZON-2597], Item 150 [VAR-2599] and Item 151 [SDR-2598] was held under Item 148 [GPA-2596]

(4:28 – 5:02)

4-3262

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-2596 - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-2597 - FLORENCE ENTERPRISES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) and R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential) TO: R-PD12 (Residential Planned Development - 12 Units Per Acre) on 4.83 acres adjacent to the west side of North Jones Boulevard, approximately 620 feet north of West Lake Mead Boulevard (APN: 138-23-601-007), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

3
105

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 44
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Kevin D. Mills, 2 pages, under Item 148
6. Submitted at City Council – Opposition petition representing 96 properties, under Item 148

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SHARON BULLOCK, Community Development Program Center of Nevada, 2009 Alta Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 148 [GPA-2596], Item 149 [ZON-2597], Item 150 [VAR-2599] and Item 151 [SDR-2598] was held under Item 148 [GPA-2596]

(4:28 – 5:02)

4-3262

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 149 – ZON-2597

CONDITIONS:

1. A General Plan Amendment (GPA-2596) to a MLA (Medium Low Attached Density Residential) land use designation approved by the City Council.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Jones Boulevard adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.
5. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 149 – ZON-2597

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-2596 AND ZON-2597 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-2599**
- FLORENCE ENTERPRISES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a
 Variance TO ALLOW 0.53 ACRES OF OPEN SPACE WHERE 0.95 ACRES IS REQUIRED
 FOR A PROPOSED 58-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT adjacent to
 the west side of North Jones Boulevard, approximately 620 feet north of West Lake Mead
 Boulevard (APN: 138-23-601-007), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to
 C-1 (Limited Commercial) and R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential) [PROPOSED: R-PD12
 (Residential Planned Development - 12 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends
 DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

3
105

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL,
 subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 45
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Kevin D. Mills, 2 pages, under Item 148
6. Submitted at City Council – Opposition petition representing 96 properties, under Item 148

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SHARON BULLOCK, Community Development Program Center of Nevada, 2009 Alta Drive,
 appeared on behalf of the applicant.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 150 – VAR-2599

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 148 [GPA-2596], Item 149 [ZON-2597], Item 150 [VAR-2599] and Item 151 [SDR-2598] was held under Item 148 [GPA-2596]
(4:28 – 5:02)

4-3262

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (ZON-2597), and Site Development Plan Review (SDR-2398).
2. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. In lieu of compliance with the open space requirements of Municipal Code 19.06.040, the developer will be allowed to make a contribution to the City of Las Vegas Parks CIP Fund in the amount of \$73,181.09 to be utilized by the City Council for improvements to existing public parks nearby. This contribution must be made to Land Development prior to approval of a Final Map, otherwise the developer is still required to comply with the Open Space requirement in accordance with Title 19 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-2596, ZON-2597 AND VAR-2599 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2598 - FLORENCE ENTERPRISES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 58-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AND A REDUCTION OF THE FRONT LANDSCAPE PLANTER on 4.83 acres adjacent to the west side of North Jones Boulevard, approximately 620 feet north of West Lake Mead Boulevard (APN: 138-23-601-007), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) and R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential) [PROPOSED: R-PD12 (Residential Planned Development - 12 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

3
104

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 46
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Kevin D. Mills, 2 pages, under Item 148
6. Submitted at City Council – Opposition petition representing 96 properties, under Item 148

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SHARON BULLOCK, Community Development Program Center of Nevada, 2009 Alta Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 151 – SDR-2598

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 148 [GPA-2596], Item 149 [ZON-2597], Item 150 [VAR-2599] and Item 151 [SDR-2598] was held under Item 148 [GPA-2596]
(4:28 – 5:02)

4-3262

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A General Plan Amendment (GPA-2596) to a MLA (Medium-Low Attached Density Residential) land use designation and a Rezoning (ZON-2597) to a R-PD12 (Residential Planned Development – 12 Units Per Acre) Zoning District, and a Variance (VAR-2599) for open space approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, landscape plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The standards for this development shall include the following: minimum lot size of 2,200 square feet and building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be:

Min. Setbacks – Exterior Lots (Typical)

- Front = maximum of 5 Feet to the front of the garage and a minimum of 18 feet to the back of the carport as measured from back of sidewalk or from back of curb if no sidewalk is provided
- Side = 0 Feet on one side and 10 Feet on the other side with 5 Feet to the trellis
- Rear = 8 Feet

Min. Setbacks– Exterior Lots (Knuckle Lots)

- Front = minimum of 18 feet to the front of house/garage/carport as measured from back of sidewalk or from back of curb if no sidewalk is provided
- Side = 0 Feet on one side and 5 Feet on the other side to the house with 5 Feet to the trellis
- Rear = 8 Feet

Min. Setbacks – Interior Lots (including corner lots)

- Front = maximum of 5 Feet to the front of the garage and a minimum of 18 feet to the back of the carport as measured from back of sidewalk or from back of curb if no sidewalk is provided

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 151 – SDR-2598

CONDITIONS – Continued:

- Side= 0 Feet on one side and 10 Feet on the other side with 5 Feet to the trellis
 - Corner = 10 Feet with 5 Feet to the trellis
 - Rear = 4 Feet
6. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a tentative map to reflect all perimeter landscaping to conform to the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards. The landscape planter shall include minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 30 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters.
 7. The trellis structure located over the front door entry shall not be enclosed for additional living space.
 8. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services prior to submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. The Design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services.
 9. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
 10. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
 11. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
 12. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. All perimeter walls, including a combination of retaining and screen walls, shall not exceed eight feet in height, measured from the base of the retaining wall, without appropriate stepbacks.
 13. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
 14. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 151 – SDR-2598

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

15. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and overall layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. The entrance shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A. This site as designed cannot be gated.
16. This site must be designed with knuckles that meet current City of Las Vegas standards unless a deviation from standards is approved by the City Engineer prior to submittal of a Tentative Map.
17. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
18. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for ZON-2597 and all other subsequent site-related actions.
19. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M. - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-1962**
 - **CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [PF (Public Facility) General Plan Designation] TO: C-V (Civic) Zone on 40 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Grand Teton Drive and Buffalo Drive (APN: 125-15-101-002), PROPOSED USE: HIGH SCHOOL, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

258
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 10
5. Submitted after final agenda – Opposition petition representing 16 properties
6. Submitted at City Council – *EDUCATION THROUGH COMMUNITY AGRICULTURE* publication submitted by Robert Morris
7. Submitted at City Council – Protest letter from Philippe Jaramillo
8. Submitted at City Council – Letter of opposition from James L. Leavitt
9. Submitted at City Council – Letter of concern from Tom McGowan
10. Submitted at City Council – Letter in support of Gilcrease Orchards from R. C. Farms, Inc.
11. Submitted at City Council – Opposition petitions containing 879 signatures

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and adding the following conditions:

- *Work with staff to provide alternative means for parking if the student population exceeds 2,700.*
- *Update the traffic study.*
- *Staff to work with the community and/or a community liaison regarding the landscaping and lighting plans.*
- *Work with the high school parents' association to provide monthly clean up of the adjacent area around the Gilcrease Orchards.*
- *Provide security for the high school and the perimeter of the Gilcrease Orchards during school hours and special events.*

– UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 152 – ZON-1962

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 152 [ZON-1962] and Item 153 [SDR-1964] was made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 152 [ZON-1962].

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

APPEARANCES:

MARGO WHEELER, Deputy Director, Planning and Development Department
TOM GREEN, Chief Deputy City Attorney
SHEILA MOULTON, President, Clark County School District Board of Trustees
CARLOS GARCIA, Superintendent, Clark County School District
BRYAN SCOTT, Deputy City Attorney
JORGE MORTEO
DONNA TAGLIAFERRI, 6280 North Hualapai Way
STATE SENATOR DENNIS NOLAN, Senate District 9
TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street
BILL GILCREASE, Gilcrease Orchards
PHILIPPE JARAMILLO, 7480 Grassy Field Court
TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas Resident
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS
UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER
RANDY LUNSFORD, 2051 Torrey Pines Drive
JOHNNY VENTURA, Publisher, Clark County Chronicle
ROSALINA LUNSFORD, 2051 Torrey Pines Drive
CHRISTIAN T. POPOLA, 12714 Paradise Isle Avenue, North Las Vegas
STEPHAN RILEY, Elkhorn Springs
NANCY SZYMANSKI, 7725 North Rainbow Boulevard
TIFFANY YANKE, 5304 Autumn Meadow Avenue
CLINT COMBS, RC Farms, 555 East El Campo Grande, North Las Vegas
BRIAN WELLS, 7608 Certitude Avenue
TRICIA GORLICK, 7444 Grassy Field Court
AL GALLEG0, Citizen of Las Vegas
RON WICKLIFFE, 7629 Donald Nelson Avenue
CHARLES GORLICK, 7444 Grassy Field Court
RHONDA LANGAGER, 7221 Bachelors Button Drive
ANN WELSH
LOUISE RUSKAMP, Resident of Las Vegas
SHAWN DYBDAHL, 7464 Grassy Field Court
GRIZEL SUSANNA HERHOLD, 7720 North Tenaya Way
CATHERINE DOWNS, 7728 Donald Nelson Avenue
STEPHEN "CAPTAIN TRUTH" DEMPSEY
BILL WALTHERS, 7440 Real Quiet Drive
JEFF HERHOLD, 7720 North Tenaya Way

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 152 – ZON-1962

MINUTES – Continued:

APPEARANCES – Continued:

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER

SHARON LINSNBARDT, 7280 Grand Teton Drive

MARY CARUSO, 7315 Racel Street

ALLEN O'NEIL, Citizen of Las Vegas

JOSIE AUDIA , 7505 Coral River Drive

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER

ROBERT MORRIS, 1867 Camino Verde Lane

HELEN MORTENSEN

GLENN LINSNBARDT, 7280 Grand Teton Drive

MATT LaCROIX, Clark County School District

DUSTY DICKENS, Clark County School District

BILLIE RAYFERD, Assistant Superintendent, Clark County School District

WILLIAM SNYDER, Tate Snyder Kimsey Architects

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development

RICK SCHRODER, Public Works Department

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(5:02 – 8:21)

5-453

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review (SDR-1964) approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate an additional 10 feet of right-of-way for a total half-street width of 60 feet on Grand Teton Drive and a 54-foot radius on the southeast corner of Grand Teton Drive & Buffalo Drive adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.
4. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Grand Teton Drive, Buffalo Drive, and Whispering Sands Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 152 – ZON-1962

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M. - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-1962 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-1964** - **CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 232,206 SQUARE FOOT HIGH SCHOOL on 40 acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Grand Teton Drive and Buffalo Drive (APN: 125-15-101-002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PF (Public Facility) General Plan Designation], [PROPOSED: C-V (Civic) Zone], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

257
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up referenced from the 7/24/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 11
5. Submitted after final agenda – Opposition petition representing 16 properties, under Item 152
6. Submitted at City Council – *EDUCATION THROUGH COMMUNITY AGRICULTURE* publication submitted by Robert Morris, under Item 152
7. Submitted at City Council – Protest letter from Philippe Jaramillo, under Item 152
8. Submitted at City Council – Letter of opposition from James L. Leavitt
9. Submitted at City Council – Letter of concern from Tom McGowan, under Item 152
10. Submitted at City Council – Letter in support of Gilcrease Orchards from R. C. Farms, Inc., under Item 152
11. Submitted at City Council – Opposition petitions containing 879 signatures, under Item 152

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions, revising Condition 1 as follows:

1. *Work with staff to provide alternative means for parking if the student population exceeds 2,700.*

And adding the following conditions:

- *Update the traffic study.*
- *Staff to work with the community and/or a community liaison regarding the landscaping and lighting plans.*
- *Work with the high school parents' association to provide monthly clean up of the adjacent area around the Gilcrease Orchards.*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 153 – SDR-1964

MOTION -- Continued:

- *Provide security for the high school and the perimeter of the Gilcrease Orchards during school hours and special events.*

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 152 [ZON-1962] and Item 153 [SDR-1964] was made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 152 [ZON-1962].

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

APPEARANCES:

MARGO WHEELER, Deputy Director, Planning and Development Department

TOM GREEN, Deputy City Attorney

SHEILA MOULTON, President, Clark County School District Board of Trustees

CARLOS GARCIA, Superintendent, Clark County School District

BRYAN SCOTT, Deputy City Attorney

JORGE MORTEO

DONNA TAGLIAFERRI, 6280 North Hualapai Way

STATE SENATOR DENNIS NOLAN, Senate District 9

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street

BILL GILCREASE, Gilcrease Orchards

PHILIPPE JARAMILLO, 7480 Grassy Field Court

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas Resident

RANDY LUNSFORD, 2051 Torrey Pines Drive

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKERS

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER

JOHNNY VENTURA, Publisher, Clark County Chronicle

ROSALINA LUNSFORD, 2051 Torrey Pines Drive

CHRISTIAN T. POPOLA, 12714 Paradise Isle Avenue, North Las Vegas

STEPHAN RILEY, Elkhorn Springs

NANCY SZYMANSKI, 7725 North Rainbow Boulevard

TIFFANY YANKE, 5304 Autumn Meadow Avenue

CLINT COMBS, RC Farms, 555 East El Campo Grande, North Las Vegas

BRIAN WELLS, 7608 Certitude Avenue

TRICIA GORLICK, 7444 Grassy Field Court

AL GALLEGOS, Citizen of Las Vegas

RON WICKLIFFE, 7629 Donald Nelson Avenue

CHARLES GORLICK, 7444 Grassy Field Court

RHONDA LANGAGER, 7221 Bachelors Button Drive

ANN WELSH

LOUISE RUSKAMP, Resident of Las Vegas

SHAWN DYBDAHL, 7464 Grassy Field Court

GRIZEL SUSANNA HERHOLD, 7720 North Tenaya Way

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 153 – SDR-1964

MINUTES – Continued:

APPEARANCES – Continued:

CATHERINE DOWNS, 7728 Donald Nelson Avenue
STEPHEN “CAPTAIN TRUTH” DEMPSEY
BILL WALTHERS, 7440 Real Quiet Drive
JEFF HERHOLD, 7720 North Tenaya Way
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER
SHARON LINSENBARDT, 7280 Grand Teton Drive
MARY CARUSO, 7315 Racel Street
ALLEN O’NEIL, Citizen of Las Vegas
JOSIE AUDIA , 7505 Coral River Drive
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER
ROBERT MORRIS, 1867 Camino Verde Lane
HELEN MORTENSEN
GLENN LINSENBARDT, 7280 Grand Teton Drive
MATT LaCROIX, Clark County School District
DUSTY DICKENS, Clark County School District
BILLIE RAYFERD, Assistant Superintendent, Clark County School District
WILLIAM SNYDER, Tate Snyder Kimsey Architects
ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development
RICK SCHRODER, Public Works Department

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(5:02 – 8:21)

5-453

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. At such time that the student population exceeds 2,700 the construction of a parking structure shall be required.
2. A Rezoning (ZON-1962) to a C-V (Civic) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
3. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided parking lot planters.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003
Planning and Development Department
Item 153 – SDR-1964

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050
11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
14. Meet with the School Site Coordinator from the Traffic Engineer's Office to discuss proposed plans for parent drop-off/pickup procedures and to discuss Suggested Route to School needs prior to occupancy of this site.
15. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for ZON-1962 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS, CENTENNIAL HILLS ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE AND DANGEROUS BUILDING OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

None.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

None.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE **CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: AUGUST 20, 2003**

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

AL GALLEGO, citizen of Las Vegas, requested that the City Council void any parking tickets received by the members of the audience since they have been sitting for at least five hours.

(8:22)

7-1180

KEVIN McCULLEN, 345 North Nellis Boulevard, #10, commented that people were very foolish yesterday for driving in floodwaters. He is surprised no one was killed. However, the Flood Control District has made great improvements, and he commended the District.

On that topic, MAYOR GOODMAN thanked the good Samaritans who put themselves at risk during the storm yesterday in order to help their neighbors and fellow citizens.

(8:22 – 8:23)

7-1203

MAGALA MOA, 9586 Adobe Arch Court, requested support in the rescue of a fellow American, DR. CHARLES LI. DR. LI was imprisoned in China in January of this year for upholding the principals of freedom and justice and attempting to expose extensive human rights violations against the practitioners of Falun Gong. DR. LI has been tortured both physically and mentally including being beaten, brainwashed, deprived of sleep, and handcuffed for 130 hours and force-fed. Every U. S. official should be extremely concerned about these human rights issues. She urged the City Council to issue a resolution, as other cities, counties and states are doing, requesting that the Chinese government immediately release DR. LI and stop the persecution of Falun Gong. She thanked the Council for their consideration and submitted a letter and the *FALUN GONG TODAY* newsletter to the City Clerk

(8:24 – 8:27)

7-1280

STEPHEN “CAPTAIN TRUTH” DEMSEY expressed the opinion that the Council had received incompetent legal advice on the last two agenda items. On another matter, he thought issues concerning a former County Commissioner were very interesting, as well as the related comments of the County Manager regarding the cynicism of the general public. He remarked, however, that any time government is conducted in the light the citizens gain.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 20, 2003 Citizens Participation

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. DEMPSEY went on to inquire as to why a show cause hearing has not been held relative to the Crazy Horse Too. He has it under reasonably good authority that a body was recently removed from or near this establishment. However, it is hearsay at this point and cannot be proved because all of the information regarding the incident has disappeared. If he were a councilperson, he would take steps to prevent becoming involved in any related investigation.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD indicated she made an inquiry after receiving an e-mail alleging a body bag was removed from the Crazy Horse Too. She was informed there was no record of such an incident occurring at that location. The Council can only act on fact, not hearsay. MR. DEMPSEY indicated there were pictures, and if he came into possession of those pictures he would deliver them to the Councilwoman's office.

(8:27 – 8:30)

7-1377

LOUISE RUSKAMP suggested one method of responding to emergency situations in the Valley as a result of her experience during the recent flooding. She indicated she was unable to obtain storm-related information on her car radio and, therefore, could not find out which roads were closed. It took her one hour and 45 minutes to travel a distance that normally takes her approximately 15 minutes. She firmly believed the radio stations should be encouraged to broadcast important emergency information. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that one of the City employees had mentioned the same issue and suggested an emergency radio station be established, which made sense.

MAYOR GOODMAN reported that he and the Sheriff had conducted a helicopter tour of the City to survey storm damage. They found the streets in good condition but many residences were devastated. Hopefully, the City will qualify for some relief down the line.

(8:30 – 8:33)

7-1525

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 8:33 P.M.